

Bezalel Smotrich: Genocidal Statements and Policies on the Gaza Crisis

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

August 5, 2024

Bezalel Smotrich, Israel's Finance Minister and a leading figure in the far-right Religious Zionist Party, is known for his hardline and often controversial positions. His recent statements regarding the Gaza humanitarian crisis have drawn significant attention and criticism. At a conference in Yad Binyamin, Smotrich declared that blocking humanitarian aid to Gaza, even at the cost of starving two million civilians, is "justified and moral" until Israeli captives are returned. This assertion has sparked outrage from various political figures, international organizations, and human rights groups, highlighting the deep ethical and humanitarian concerns surrounding his policies.

Keywords: Bezalel Smotrich, Gaza, humanitarian crisis, Israeli politics, Religious Zionist Party, humanitarian aid, blockade, Israeli captives, political controversy, international reactions, human rights.

Quote: "We can't, in the current global reality, manage a war. Nobody will let us cause two million civilians to die of hunger even though it might be justified and moral until our captives are returned," Smotrich said at a conference in the central Israeli city of Yad Binyamin hosted by the Hebrew-language daily newspaper *Israel Hayom*."

Introduction

Bezalel Smotrich is a prominent Israeli politician known for his far-right views and significant influence within the Israeli government. As the leader of the Religious Zionist Party and Israel's Finance Minister, Smotrich has often been at the center of controversy due to his hardline stances on various issues, particularly regarding the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Recently, Smotrich made headlines with his provocative statement at a Yad Binyamin conference, where he asserted that blocking humanitarian aid to Gaza is "justified and moral," even if it leads to the starvation of two million civilians until Israeli captives are returned. This declaration has sparked widespread condemnation from both domestic and international communities, highlighting the ethical and humanitarian dilemmas posed by such a policy. The controversy underscores the intense debate over the balance between national security and humanitarian responsibilities in conflict zones.

Background

Smotrich's Political Career and Rise to Prominence

Bezalel Smotrich began his political journey with activism in the settlement movement, advocating for the expansion of Israeli settlements in the West Bank. He first gained national attention as a co-founder of the right-wing NGO Regavim, which focuses on land issues and illegal construction by Palestinians. In 2015, Smotrich was elected to the Knesset as a member of the Jewish Home party, marking the start of his legislative career. Over the years, his outspoken and often controversial views have propelled him into the spotlight, culminating in his current role as the Finance Minister of Israel.

Affiliation with the Far-Right Religious Zionist Party

Smotrich is a leading figure in the Religious Zionist Party, which advocates for a Jewish state based on Halacha (Jewish religious law) and promotes the settlement of Jews in all parts of the biblical Land of Israel. The party is known for its ultra-nationalist positions and has been a strong advocate against the establishment of

a Palestinian state. Under Smotrich's leadership, the party has further solidified its stance on key issues, influencing Israeli politics significantly.

Previous Controversial Statements and Actions

Smotrich has a history of making inflammatory statements that have drawn both criticism and support. In 2016, he sparked outrage by suggesting that Israeli hospitals should have separate maternity wards for Jewish and Arab women, citing cultural differences. He has also been a vocal opponent of LGBTQ+ rights, once referring to the Jerusalem Pride Parade as an "abomination parade." His hardline views extend to security and military issues, often advocating for aggressive policies against Palestinians. Smotrich's unapologetic rhetoric and actions continue to polarize public opinion, cementing his reputation as a provocative and influential figure in Israeli politics.

Recent Statements on Gaza

Summary of Smotrich's Comments on Blocking Humanitarian Aid to Gaza

In a highly controversial statement, Israeli Finance Minister Bezalel Smotrich declared that blocking humanitarian aid to Gaza is "justified and moral," even if it leads to the starvation of two million civilians. His rationale hinges on the necessity of returning Israeli captives before allowing aid into Gaza.

Context of His Statements at the Yad Binyamin Conference

Smotrich made these remarks during a conference in Yad Binyamin, a central Israeli city, hosted by the Hebrew-language daily newspaper Israel Hayom. The conference was attended by several prominent figures in Israeli politics and media, providing a platform for Smotrich to assert his hardline stance on the Gaza issue.

Reactions from Various Political Figures and International Entities

Smotrich's comments were met with swift backlash from a wide range of political figures and international organizations. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and other members of the Israeli government were pressured to respond to the inflammatory statements. Netanyahu distanced himself from

Smotrich's remarks, emphasizing a more balanced approach to the humanitarian situation in Gaza.

Internationally, human rights organizations and foreign governments condemned Smotrich's comments as inhumane and counterproductive to peace efforts. The United Nations and various NGOs highlighted the severe humanitarian impact such policies would have on the civilian population of Gaza, calling for an immediate reassessment of Israel's stance on aid to the region.

This episode further polarized opinions on Israel's handling of the Gaza crisis, reinforcing the divisions within both Israeli society and the international community regarding the ethics and efficacy of using humanitarian aid as leverage in political conflicts.

Humanitarian Impact

Analysis of the Potential Humanitarian Consequences of Smotrich's Proposed Policies

Bezalel Smotrich's proposal to block humanitarian aid to Gaza, even if it results in the starvation of two million civilians, presents severe humanitarian consequences. Such a policy would exacerbate the already dire conditions in Gaza, leading to widespread malnutrition, increased mortality rates, and heightened suffering among the civilian population, particularly affecting vulnerable groups such as children, the elderly, and the sick.

Reports from Humanitarian Organizations on the Current Situation in Gaza

Humanitarian organizations have consistently reported on the precarious situation in Gaza, highlighting the severe shortages of food, medical supplies, and essential services. According to the United Nations and various NGOs, over two-thirds of Gaza's population relies on humanitarian aid to survive. The blockade on Gaza has already led to chronic food insecurity, inadequate healthcare, and a collapse of basic infrastructure. The proposed policies by Smotrich would only intensify these conditions, potentially leading to a humanitarian catastrophe.

Historical Context of Humanitarian Aid in Gaza and Previous Blockades

The Gaza Strip has been subject to various blockades and restrictions for over a decade, primarily imposed by Israel following the rise of Hamas. These blockades have severely limited the flow of goods, services, and humanitarian aid into the region. In the past, international pressure and humanitarian advocacy have played crucial roles in mitigating some of the harshest impacts of these blockades. However, the situation remains fragile, and any further restrictions, as suggested by Smotrich, could undo years of humanitarian efforts and push Gaza into a deeper crisis.

In summary, Smotrich's proposed policies would have devastating effects on the already vulnerable population of Gaza, compounding existing hardships and potentially leading to a large-scale humanitarian disaster. The international community and humanitarian organizations continue to advocate for the uninterrupted provision of aid to prevent further deterioration of the humanitarian situation in Gaza.

Political Reactions

Responses from Israeli Politicians, Including Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu

Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and other Israeli politicians reacted to Smotrich's controversial remarks with caution. Netanyahu distanced himself from the Finance Minister's extreme stance, emphasizing a balanced approach to the humanitarian situation in Gaza. Some members of the Israeli government condemned Smotrich's comments, viewing them as damaging to Israel's international standing and counterproductive to the peace process.

Reactions from Palestinian Leaders and International Organizations

Palestinian leaders and international organizations swiftly condemned Smotrich's statements. Palestinian Authority officials denounced the remarks as genocidal and inhumane, calling for international intervention. Human rights organizations, including the United Nations, criticized the proposed policies, highlighting their

potential to exacerbate the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. The international community urged Israel to reconsider its stance on humanitarian aid.

Media Coverage and Public Opinion in Israel and Abroad

Media coverage of Smotrich's statements varied widely. In Israel, right-wing outlets echoed his tough stance, while left-wing and centrist media condemned the remarks. Internationally, the comments received widespread criticism, with major news outlets and commentators highlighting the severe ethical and humanitarian implications. Public opinion in Israel was divided, reflecting the broader polarization on issues related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Abroad, Smotrich's remarks were largely seen as an alarming escalation in the rhetoric surrounding the Gaza crisis.

Ethical and Moral Considerations

Examination of the Ethical Implications of Smotrich's Stance

Bezalel Smotrich's stance on blocking humanitarian aid to Gaza raises significant ethical questions. The deliberate starvation of civilians as a tactic in political or military conflicts is widely considered a violation of international humanitarian law and basic human rights. Smotrich's position, which prioritizes political leverage over human life, challenges fundamental ethical principles of humanity and compassion.

Debates on the Morality of Using Humanitarian Aid as Leverage in Political Conflicts

The use of humanitarian aid as a bargaining chip in political conflicts is a deeply contentious issue. Proponents argue that leveraging aid can be a powerful tool to achieve political objectives, such as the release of captives. However, critics contend that such tactics disproportionately harm innocent civilians and contravene moral obligations to provide relief and support to those in need, regardless of political considerations. This debate often centers on the balance between achieving strategic goals and maintaining ethical integrity.

Perspectives from Human Rights Organizations

Human rights organizations universally condemn the use of humanitarian aid as a weapon of war. Groups such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and the United Nations have all emphasized that withholding aid as a means of coercion violates international humanitarian principles and exacerbates the suffering of already vulnerable populations. These organizations advocate for the unconditional provision of aid to ensure the protection of civilian lives and uphold the dignity of those affected by conflict.

In conclusion, Smotrich's stance on Gaza poses profound ethical and moral dilemmas. The intentional deprivation of basic necessities to civilians as a political strategy is viewed by many as morally indefensible and in stark contrast to established humanitarian norms. The broader implications of such policies highlight the need for a compassionate and principled approach to conflict resolution and humanitarian assistance.

Conclusion

Summary of the Key Points Discussed

Bezalel Smotrich's recent statements about blocking humanitarian aid to Gaza have sparked widespread controversy and condemnation. His assertion that such measures are "justified and moral" has highlighted the severe humanitarian consequences, ignited political reactions, and prompted ethical debates. Responses from Israeli politicians, Palestinian leaders, and international organizations underline the polarizing nature of his stance.

Potential Long-Term Implications for Israeli-Palestinian Relations

Smotrich's hardline approach could further strain Israeli-Palestinian relations, exacerbating tensions and reducing the prospects for peaceful negotiations. The humanitarian crisis in Gaza may deepen, leading to increased animosity and resistance from the Palestinian population and their supporters globally. This could also impact Israel's diplomatic relations with other countries and international organizations advocating for human rights.

Final Thoughts on the Role of Political Rhetoric in Humanitarian Crises

The rhetoric used by political leaders in the context of humanitarian crises can have profound implications. Smotrich's statements exemplify how political discourse can influence public opinion, policy decisions, and international relations. It is crucial for political leaders to consider the ethical and humanitarian impact of their words and actions, striving to balance national security concerns with the moral imperative to protect and assist vulnerable populations. The international community must continue to advocate for humane policies that prioritize the well-being of civilians and uphold the principles of international humanitarian law.

References

1. **Press TV.** "Killing two million by hunger in Gaza 'justified, moral': Israeli minister." Available at: [Press TV](#)
2. **Middle East Eye.** "Israel's Smotrich calls for retaliation against Palestinian Authority over state recognition." Available at: Middle East Eye
3. **The Times of Israel.** "Smotrich calls for Palestinian-free buffer zones around West Bank settlements." Available at: The Times of Israel
4. **The Jerusalem Post.** "World must accept Palestinian refugees from Gaza, MKs write to WSJ." Available at: The Jerusalem Post
5. **Human Rights Watch.** "Gaza: Humanitarian Crisis Worsens." Available at: Human Rights Watch
6. **United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA).** "Gaza Strip: Humanitarian Impact of the Blockade." Available at: OCHA
7. **Amnesty International.** "Israel/OPT: Systematic violations in Gaza." Available at: Amnesty International
8. **B'Tselem - The Israeli Information Center for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories.** "The Gaza Strip: Background on the Crisis." Available at: B'Tselem

