Fractals in Quantum Fields: Can Infinite Recursion Be Encoded in Hilbert Space?

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

March 16, 2025

Fractals are self-similar mathematical structures that exhibit infinite recursion, raising fundamental questions about their representation in computational and physical systems. Classical computation struggles with fractal recursion due to finite precision, iterative constraints, and memory limitations. However, quantum mechanics operates within Hilbert space, an infinite-dimensional vector space, suggesting that quantum systems may offer a fundamentally different approach to encoding fractal recursion. This paper explores whether fractals can be intrinsically encoded in quantum fields rather than computed iteratively. We investigate mechanisms such as quantum field theory (QFT) renormalization group flow, holographic entanglement, and topological quantum computation, each of which suggests that recursion may be naturally embedded within quantum systems. Additionally, we examine the role of quantum gravity and fractal spacetime, questioning whether fundamental physics inherently supports infinite recursion. By addressing both theoretical possibilities and practical constraints—such as quantum measurement collapse, decoherence, and entropy bounds—we assess whether quantum computers can surpass classical recursion limits. The implications extend beyond computation, potentially redefining the relationship between information, spacetime, and fundamental reality.

Keywords: quantum computation, fractals, Hilbert space, infinite recursion, quantum field theory, renormalization group, holography, topological quantum computation, quantum gravity, quantum entanglement, fractal spacetime, anyons, non-Abelian braiding, quantum cellular automata, quantum measurement, decoherence, entanglement entropy, self-similarity, computational limits. 41 pages. A collaboration with GPT-4o.

1. Introduction

Fractals are mathematical structures that exhibit self-similarity at every scale, a property that gives rise to infinitely complex patterns generated through recursive iteration. The Mandelbrot set, one of the most well-known fractals, is defined by the recurrence relation:

$$Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C$$

where C is a complex parameter and the sequence is iterated until escape conditions are met. Fractals such as the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets emerge from simple mathematical rules but require potentially infinite recursion to fully resolve their structure. This characteristic poses significant computational challenges, especially when attempting to compute fractals at arbitrary precision.

The Computational Limits of Classical Fractal Generation

On classical computers, fractals are generated through iterative methods, where each point in a given region of the complex plane is tested for its escape time under the recurrence relation. While this method works well for rendering fractals up to a predefined resolution, it faces several inherent limitations:

1. Finite Precision – Classical digital computers can only represent numbers to a finite number of bits, limiting the depth at which fractal recursion can be computed.
2. Computational Cost – The depth of recursion required to reveal finer details of a fractal increases exponentially. As more iterations are required, the time complexity grows significantly, making deep recursive computations intractable.
3. Memory Constraints – Each iteration in the recursive process requires computational resources for storage, meaning that an infinitely recursive fractal cannot be represented in finite memory.

These constraints have led to the fundamental assumption that while fractals exhibit infinite recursion in theory, they can only be approximated in practice.

Can Quantum Systems Encode Infinite Recursion?

Quantum computing offers an alternative framework that may circumvent some of the limitations imposed by classical computation. Unlike classical bits, which store discrete values, quantum bits (qubits) exist in superposition, allowing quantum systems to evaluate multiple recursive depths simultaneously. More fundamentally, quantum systems operate in Hilbert space, an infinite-dimensional mathematical space used to describe quantum states. This raises the question:

Can a quantum system, leveraging the infinite nature of Hilbert space, encode a fractal to infinite recursion without the truncation imposed by classical computation?

This paper explores whether the infinite-dimensional structure of Hilbert space, along with quantum field theory and topological quantum computing, could provide a means to intrinsically encode fractals without iterative computation. If this were possible, it would imply that fractal recursion could be embedded within a quantum state itself, rather than being computed step by step.

The remainder of this paper will explore the theoretical foundations of fractals in classical and quantum computation, examine whether self-similar structures can emerge naturally in quantum field theory, and assess whether quantum mechanical properties—such as entanglement and holography—allow for fractal recursion to be directly instantiated in quantum systems. Ultimately, we aim to determine whether the infinite recursion required for true fractal computation is a fundamental impossibility or whether it could be achieved within a quantum framework.

2. Theoretical Framework: Fractals and Quantum Computation

Fractals have been a subject of extensive study in mathematics and physics due to their recursive self-similar structures, which arise naturally in various natural and artificial systems. While classical computing methods allow for the simulation of fractals up to a given resolution, the inherent limitations of computational resources make it impossible to fully realize an infinitely recursive fractal.

Quantum computing, with its foundation in Hilbert space, offers a fundamentally different computational paradigm, raising the possibility that fractal recursion could be encoded directly into the structure of quantum systems.

This section explores the computational mechanisms used for fractal generation in classical systems and contrasts them with the properties of quantum computation, particularly how Hilbert space and quantum entanglement might allow for deeper or even infinite recursive structures.

2.1. Classical Computation of Fractals

Iterated Function Systems and Escape-Time Algorithms

Fractals can be generated through various mathematical techniques, the most common of which involve iterated function systems (IFS) and escape-time algorithms.

- Iterated Function Systems (IFS): These rely on affine transformations applied recursively to an initial shape. Each transformation consists of scaling, rotation, and translation, leading to self-similar structures. The Sierpiński triangle and Cantor set are classical examples of fractals generated through IFS.
- Escape-Time Algorithms: These are used for generating fractals such as the Mandelbrot and Julia sets, where a complex number C is iteratively mapped according to the recurrence relation

$$Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C$$

The process continues until Z_n exceeds a predefined escape radius, typically $|Z_n| > 2$. The number of iterations required before escape determines the fractal's visual structure, with each point in the complex plane assigned a color corresponding to its escape time.

The Necessity of Finite Truncation in Classical Fractal Generation

Despite the infinite theoretical recursion that defines fractals, classical computation imposes several limitations:

1. Finite Precision Arithmetic: Floating-point representation restricts the number of decimal places, leading to inaccuracies as recursion depth increases.
2. Computational Complexity: The number of iterations required to generate deep recursion scales exponentially, making higher depths computationally expensive.
3. Memory Constraints: The iterative process must store state information for each computation step, preventing the realization of infinite recursion.

For these reasons, all classical fractal representations are approximations, bounded by hardware constraints and numerical precision limits.

2.2. Hilbert Space and Infinite-Dimensional Encoding

While classical computation struggles with infinite recursion due to finite-state memory, Hilbert space—which underpins quantum mechanics—offers an infinite-dimensional mathematical framework. This suggests that quantum systems could potentially encode fractal recursion in a fundamentally different way than classical iterative approaches.

Hilbert Space as an Infinite-Dimensional Vector Space

A Hilbert space is a complete, infinite-dimensional vector space where quantum states are represented as complex-valued functions. Unlike classical state representations, where a system exists in a single definite state at a given time, quantum mechanics allows for states to exist in superpositions, enabling the simultaneous representation of multiple states.

Mathematically, a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ in Hilbert space is expressed as a linear combination of basis states:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle$$

where c_i are complex probability amplitudes, and $|i\rangle$ represent basis states. Since Hilbert space is **not constrained by finite memory**, it raises the question of whether an infinitely recursive structure, such as a fractal, could be represented as a single quantum state.

Superposition, Entanglement, and the Potential for Representing Infinite Recursive Structures

Unlike classical bits, which store discrete binary values (0 or 1), qubits can exist in a superposition of states:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

where α and β are complex amplitudes satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. This means that a single quantum system can encode multiple recursive depths simultaneously.

Additionally, quantum **entanglement** allows qubits to share correlated states such that measurement of one immediately determines the state of another, even if separated by large distances. If fractal structures could be encoded into **entangled states**, their self-similar nature might emerge naturally in a quantum computational framework.

For instance, if a quantum fractal were represented as a recursively entangled state:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \right)$$

this could provide a **non-iterative, intrinsically recursive encoding** of the fractal, potentially bypassing the need for explicit stepwise computation.

The Challenge of Finite Measurement Collapse in Quantum Systems

While Hilbert space is mathematically infinite-dimensional, any physical quantum computer is constrained by the following limitations:

1. Measurement Collapse: Once a quantum state is measured, it collapses into a single eigenstate, meaning that an infinitely recursive fractal representation would be lost upon measurement.

2. Finite Qubit Register: Any physical quantum computer has a limited number of qubits, meaning that even though Hilbert space is theoretically infinite, actual computation remains bounded.
3. Decoherence and Noise: Quantum states are highly susceptible to environmental noise, which can degrade complex entangled structures over time.

These challenges suggest that even if fractals could be encoded as quantum states, they may still require novel methods of retrieval and manipulation to be useful computationally.

Summary of Theoretical Challenges and Opportunities

The classical computation of fractals is inherently limited by finite precision, computational cost, and memory constraints, preventing the realization of true infinite recursion. Quantum systems, operating in Hilbert space, provide a theoretical framework where infinite recursion might be encoded intrinsically rather than iteratively computed.

However, whether this can be achieved in practice depends on:

1. The ability to embed recursive structures into the quantum state space.
2. The extent to which entanglement and superposition can sustain self-similarity over multiple scales.
3. Whether a new quantum computational paradigm could bypass measurement collapse to extract meaningful information from infinitely recursive fractal states.

These considerations lay the groundwork for further exploration into whether quantum computation, quantum field theory, or topological quantum computing can fully support the infinite recursion that defines fractals in their purest mathematical form.

3. Encoding Fractals in Quantum Field Theory (QFT)

Quantum field theory (QFT) provides a mathematical framework for describing physical systems at all scales, from particle interactions to cosmological structures. Unlike classical physics, where systems evolve deterministically in finite-dimensional spaces, QFT operates within Hilbert space, which has infinite-dimensional degrees of freedom.

Fractals, as infinitely recursive self-similar structures, exhibit a deep connection to QFT through principles such as scale invariance, renormalization group (RG) flow, and holography. This section explores whether fractal recursion can be intrinsically encoded in quantum fields rather than being computed iteratively, as is the case in classical approaches.

3.1. Scale Invariance and the Renormalization Group

One of the most fundamental aspects of fractals is their scale invariance—the property that a fractal looks the same at any magnification level. A similar concept appears in QFT through the Renormalization Group (RG), which describes how physical theories change as we examine them at different energy scales.

How Renormalization Group (RG) Flow Exhibits Fractal-Like Behavior

The RG flow tracks how coupling constants in a quantum field theory evolve as we zoom in or out in energy scale. This flow is described by differential equations that determine whether a theory remains self-similar across different energy levels.

A system that exhibits exact scale invariance under RG transformations can be considered analogous to a fractal, as its fundamental structure remains unchanged under rescaling. The fractal-like nature of RG flow can be seen in:

1. Critical Points and Self-Similarity:
    - Near critical points (such as in phase transitions), QFT systems display behavior that remains invariant under rescaling, much like fractals.

- Examples include Ising models, where RG flow maps similar configurations onto each other recursively.

2. Chaotic RG Flow and Strange Attractors:
   - Some systems exhibit chaotic RG behavior, where the flow follows a complex, self-similar pattern, reminiscent of fractal structures such as the Mandelbrot set.
3. Dimensional Reduction in Scale-Free Theories:
   - In certain QFTs, scale-invariant behavior leads to emergent lower-dimensional descriptions, similar to how fractals reduce spatial complexity while retaining core properties.

The Possibility of Encoding Fractal Structures in RG Evolution

If the RG equations themselves encode fractal recursion, then a quantum system naturally evolving under RG flow might contain embedded fractal structures without requiring explicit computational steps. This raises the question:

- Can we design a quantum computational system whose state evolution follows an RG-like recursion, thus encoding a fractal as a quantum process?
- If so, could entanglement entropy scaling be used as a direct computational resource for infinite recursion?

If fractal behavior is intrinsic to RG flow, then it may be possible to exploit this mechanism to encode an infinite fractal in a quantum system, bypassing the iterative limitations of classical computing.

3.2. Holography and Fractal Encoding

The AdS/CFT correspondence—a foundational idea in string theory—suggests that quantum gravitational systems in an Anti-de Sitter (AdS) space are holographically equivalent to a conformal field theory (CFT) on the boundary of that space. This duality implies that complex quantum structures, including fractals, might be encoded in a lower-dimensional representation of a higher-dimensional system.

AdS/CFT and Tensor Networks as Self-Similar Structures

In holographic entanglement entropy, spacetime itself can be thought of as emerging from entangled quantum states. Some key properties relevant to fractal encoding include:

1. Tensor Networks and Hyperbolic Geometry:
    - The MERA (Multi-scale Entanglement Renormalization Ansatz) tensor network used in AdS/CFT resembles a hierarchical tree structure, which is self-similar and recursive, much like a fractal.
    - This suggests that quantum states evolving under a holographic tensor network naturally encode fractal-like properties without explicit computation.
2. Holographic Projections of Recursive Structures:
    - If a higher-dimensional quantum system exhibits fractal-like recursion, its holographic projection on the boundary CFT should also retain this structure.
    - This means that fractal recursion in a quantum gravity theory could manifest as a lower-dimensional fractal structure in a field theory.

Can Holographic Duals Naturally Encode Fractal Recursion?

If AdS/CFT allows for recursive structures to be intrinsically embedded within quantum fields, then fractals may not need to be computed explicitly; they may already exist as natural features of quantum information flow. This leads to several questions:

- Could a quantum computer leveraging holography inherently store and manipulate fractals at infinite depth?
- Could quantum entanglement patterns be structured such that they directly encode fractals without iterative steps?

If these ideas hold, then holography could provide a new framework for storing, compressing, and manipulating infinitely recursive structures in quantum computation.

3.3. Scale-Invariant Quantum Fields

A subclass of QFTs known as conformal field theories (CFTs) exhibit exact scale invariance, meaning that their physical behavior remains unchanged under rescaling transformations. This property aligns with the fundamental characteristic of fractals, suggesting that CFTs might provide a direct avenue for encoding infinite recursion.

Conformal Field Theory (CFT) and Its Self-Similar Properties

CFTs are defined by their invariance under conformal transformations, which include scaling, translation, and rotation. These transformations leave the underlying structure of the theory unchanged, much like how a fractal retains its shape under magnification. Some examples include:

1. Critical Phenomena in Statistical Mechanics:
    - At phase transitions, systems described by CFTs exhibit power-law scaling behavior, which resembles fractal structures.
    - The 2D Ising model at criticality is a well-known example where the system displays emergent fractal-like behavior.
2. Self-Similar Correlation Functions:
    - CFT correlation functions often obey power laws, similar to how fractals exhibit power-law scaling in their geometric structure.
    - This suggests that a quantum system described by a CFT could contain embedded fractal correlations.

The Role of Phase Transitions in Emergent Fractal Structures

Phase transitions in QFT often give rise to emergent fractal patterns due to self-organization of the system's degrees of freedom. Notable examples include:

- Quantum Critical Points (QCPs): These points separate different quantum phases and often exhibit fractal-like entanglement patterns.
- Percolation Models and Spin Systems: These exhibit fractal-like structures near phase transitions, suggesting that QFTs naturally encode recursive behavior at criticality.

If a quantum computing system could be engineered to operate near such critical points, it might be possible to embed fractal structures within the quantum state space, leading to a form of self-referential computation that does not require explicit recursion.

Summary of Fractal Encoding in Quantum Fields

The presence of fractal-like behavior in RG flow, holography, and CFTs suggests that fractal recursion is not merely a computational construct but may be an intrinsic feature of quantum fields. This raises several key implications:

1. If fractals emerge naturally from renormalization flow, then a quantum system could be designed to follow an intrinsic fractal evolution, encoding recursion without iterative steps.
2. Holography suggests that fractal recursion may be inherently stored in lower-dimensional quantum representations, potentially allowing for an alternative approach to infinite recursion in computation.
3. CFTs and phase transitions exhibit emergent fractal structures, implying that certain quantum states may inherently encode self-similar recursion.

These observations support the possibility that quantum computing may not need to explicitly calculate fractals iteratively but could instead store them as fundamental structures within quantum fields.

4. Quantum Computation and Recursive Fractal Representation

Quantum computation presents a fundamentally different way of processing information compared to classical computers. Instead of relying on stepwise recursion, as in classical fractal generation, quantum systems can leverage properties such as superposition, entanglement, and interference to potentially encode fractal recursion as an intrinsic quantum process.

This section explores two promising frameworks for embedding self-similar recursive structures into quantum computation: quantum random walks that evolve along fractal trajectories and quantum cellular automata (QCA) that implement recursive fractal states in a distributed quantum system.

4.1. Fractal Quantum Walks

How Quantum Random Walks Can Evolve Along Fractal Trajectories

Quantum random walks (QRWs) are the quantum analogs of classical random walks, but instead of a discrete probability-based movement, the walker exists in a superposition of all possible paths, evolving via unitary operations. This leads to interference effects, where different paths reinforce or cancel each other out.

In classical computing, fractal structures such as the Sierpiński triangle or the Cantor set can be generated using constrained random walks. By restricting movement to self-similar patterns, a walker will trace out a fractal trajectory over time.

The quantum version of this process is significantly more powerful. In a quantum fractal walk, a quantum particle follows a recursive structure where:

1. Superposition allows the walker to traverse multiple fractal paths simultaneously, capturing deeper recursion than classical approaches.
2. Entanglement between steps enables long-range correlations, which encode higher-order self-similarity within the system.

3. Quantum interference enhances or suppresses specific paths, controlling how the fractal unfolds dynamically.

Mathematically, quantum random walks on fractals can be described using unitary evolution operators constrained by fractal symmetries. The state of the walker at time t is given by:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U^t|\psi(0)\rangle$$

where U is a unitary operator encoding the fractal constraints. The self-similarity of U ensures that the walker's probability distribution exhibits a fractal pattern over time.

Theoretical Speedup in Evaluating Deep Recursion

The key advantage of quantum fractal walks is their potential exponential speedup over classical fractal algorithms. While a classical fractal computation must iterate step-by-step, a quantum fractal walk can simulate many recursion levels simultaneously due to its parallel evolution across multiple fractal paths.

Potential quantum speedups include:

1. Parallel Recursive Evaluation
   - Classical fractal computations require explicit iteration depth ddd, leading to exponential growth in processing time.
   - Quantum walks can explore all recursion levels simultaneously in superposition, reducing the required steps significantly.
2. Faster Convergence to Fractal Limits
   - A classical escape-time fractal (e.g., the Mandelbrot set) requires evaluating each point independently.
   - Quantum fractal walks leverage wavefunction interference to converge on the fractal boundary faster, making deep recursion more computationally efficient.

3. Applications in Quantum Search and Quantum AI
   - Since many AI and optimization problems have fractal-like solution spaces, quantum fractal walks may offer new ways to search complex spaces more efficiently than classical algorithms.

If implemented, a quantum fractal walk could provide a non-iterative, self-contained fractal representation, bypassing the need for explicit recursive computation.

4.2. Quantum Cellular Automata (QCA) and Self-Referential Computation

Recursive Quantum Automata as a Framework for Infinite Self-Similar Encoding

Quantum cellular automata (QCA) extend the classical concept of cellular automata (CA) by incorporating quantum superposition, entanglement, and non-local transformations. A QCA consists of an array of quantum states that evolve according to local unitary rules, similar to how classical automata update based on nearest-neighbor interactions.

In the context of fractals, QCA can be designed to self-generate recursive structures, meaning that:

1. Each cell's quantum state encodes a fractal recursion depth, allowing the automaton to store an entire fractal within its state space.
2. Quantum entanglement couples multiple recursion depths together, maintaining coherence across multiple scales.
3. Measurement of the system reveals a fractal pattern, rather than constructing it step by step.

If QCA can be designed such that their **unitary update rules inherently generate self-similarity**, they would provide a way to **encode infinite recursion without the need for explicit iteration**.

Mathematically, a QCA state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ evolves as:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U|\psi(t-1)\rangle$$

where U is a **self-similar transformation** that generates a fractal recursively. If U has **fractal symmetry**, the entire automaton state at any time t is a quantum superposition of all recursion depths.

The Interplay Between Entanglement Depth and Recursion Depth

A key property of QCA-based fractals is that entanglement depth (how many qubits are interdependent) may correspond to fractal recursion depth:

1. Shallow entanglement → Low recursion depth
   - A quantum automaton with minimal entanglement exhibits only a few recursion levels, similar to a truncated classical fractal.
2. Deep entanglement → High recursion depth
   - A highly entangled QCA would maintain long-range correlations, preserving deep fractal recursion within the quantum state.

If QCA can be tuned such that entanglement depth scales dynamically with recursion depth, it would enable fractals to be represented as stable, self-referential quantum states rather than requiring iterative computation.

This concept suggests that a quantum system does not need to compute a fractal explicitly—rather, the fractal is embedded in the system's quantum state, existing as a self-similar structure within Hilbert space.

Summary of Quantum Fractal Computation

Quantum computation provides two promising approaches for representing fractal recursion non-iteratively:

1. Quantum Fractal Walks leverage superposition and interference to trace fractal trajectories without stepwise recursion, offering a speedup over classical fractal calculations.
2. Quantum Cellular Automata (QCA) provide a framework where fractals are encoded in the quantum state space itself, eliminating the need for iterative computation altogether.

These mechanisms suggest that a quantum system may be capable of storing and evolving fractal structures inherently, rather than merely simulating them iteratively as in classical approaches.

If this holds, it would imply that quantum computing is not just a tool for faster fractal generation but could fundamentally redefine how fractals are represented, computed, and manipulated in physics and mathematics.

5. Topological Quantum Computation and Fractal Structures

Topological quantum computation (TQC) is a framework that encodes quantum information into topological properties of quantum fields rather than into individual qubits. This approach is robust against local perturbations, making it a promising candidate for fault-tolerant quantum computation.

Fractals, as self-similar structures, naturally emerge in topological systems, particularly in the study of anyon braiding, quantum knots, and topological defects. This section explores whether fractal recursion can be embedded directly into the topology of a quantum computational system, rather than requiring iterative computational steps.

5.1. Anyonic Braiding and Self-Similarity

Encoding Recursive Quantum States in Non-Abelian Anyons

Anyonic quasiparticles arise in topological phases of matter, particularly in fractional quantum Hall systems and topological superconductors. Unlike fermions and bosons, which obey symmetric or antisymmetric exchange statistics, anyons exhibit braid-group statistics, meaning that when two anyons are exchanged, their quantum state undergoes a transformation described by a unitary matrix.

In non-Abelian anyons, the braiding of multiple anyons leads to a computational Hilbert space that grows exponentially with the number of particles. This allows for a natural recursive encoding of quantum information within the braiding patterns themselves.

Since fractals are recursive structures, the question arises:
Can anyonic braiding be structured in a way that encodes fractal recursion?

1. Hierarchical Braiding Structures
   - If a system of anyons is braided according to a self-similar rule, it may generate a quantum fractal state.
   - Recursively applying a braiding operator BBB may induce fractal-like behavior in the anyonic state space.
2. Fractal Entanglement in Braided Anyon Systems
   - Some anyonic systems exhibit fractal entanglement patterns, where mutual information between anyon pairs scales in a fractal-like way.
   - If such a system is coupled to a quantum memory, it could recursively store and process fractal states.

These features suggest that topological quantum computation could provide a mechanism for encoding infinite recursion in a way that is robust to decoherence and errors.

Fractal Knot Theory as a Computational Paradigm

Knot theory provides a direct mathematical framework for encoding topological information, and it has been explored in both quantum physics and computational complexity. Certain quantum field theories, such as Chern-Simons theory, describe knots and links as fundamental objects.

1. Self-Similar Knots and Links
    - Some knots exhibit recursive self-similarity, meaning that a smaller version of the knot is embedded within itself, much like a fractal.
    - Examples include torus knots and iterated braid groups, which have been shown to possess fractal structures in their entanglement entropy.
2. Topological Quantum Gates from Fractal Braids
    - Since topological quantum computation relies on braiding operations as computational gates, it is possible that a sequence of braidings could be self-similar, recursively encoding fractal states into quantum gates.

If a topological quantum system is structured to follow a fractal braiding pattern, it may naturally perform fractal computations intrinsically, rather than requiring classical recursive processing.

5.2. Recursive Quantum States in Topological Systems

Can Fractals Exist in the Hilbert Space of Topological Quantum Field Theories (TQFTs)?

Topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) describe quantum systems in terms of global, topological properties rather than local interactions. A key feature of TQFTs is that their Hilbert space can contain states that exhibit self-similarity, meaning that fractals might emerge naturally in topological quantum computation.

1. Holographic Dualities and Fractal Structures
    - In the AdS/CFT correspondence, some holographic dual theories have entanglement structures that obey fractal-like scaling laws.
    - If TQFTs describe a dual system where recursion is inherent, then fractal-like states may already exist within the Hilbert space of certain quantum systems.
2. Fractal Wavefunctions in Quantum Gravity
    - Some quantum gravity models suggest that spacetime at the Planck scale behaves as a fractal due to quantum fluctuations.
    - If quantum gravity can be described in terms of a TQFT, then the Hilbert space of such a system might encode fractals as fundamental quantum states.

If fractals are not merely a computational artifact but instead emerge as a fundamental feature of quantum topology, this could provide a non-computational way to encode infinite recursion within a quantum field.

The Role of Topological Defects in Encoding Infinite Recursion

In many physical systems, topological defects—such as vortices, domain walls, and magnetic monopoles—serve as nontrivial structures that influence the system's quantum state. Some of these defects have been found to exhibit fractal-like patterns, which raises the possibility that topological defects could be used to store and process fractal recursion.

1. Self-Similar Defects in Condensed Matter Systems
    - Certain topological defects in quantum materials exhibit recursive, fractal-like structures.
    - Examples include vortex lattices in superconductors and self-organizing domain walls in topological insulators.

2. Defects as Quantum Memory for Fractal States
    - If a topological defect is designed to be self-replicating, it could serve as a stable quantum register for encoding fractal states.
    - This would provide a way to store infinite recursion in a localized, nonvolatile quantum structure.

These observations suggest that topological quantum computation is not merely a faster method for computing fractals—it may provide an intrinsic, physics-based way to store and manipulate fractal recursion.

Summary of Topological Quantum Fractals

Unlike classical computation, which requires step-by-step recursion to generate fractals, topological quantum computation may allow fractals to be encoded naturally within the quantum state space.

1. Anyonic Braiding as a Fractal Process
    - Non-Abelian anyons support exponentially growing Hilbert spaces, which may allow for recursive encoding of fractal states.
    - Some anyonic braiding patterns already exhibit self-similar structures, suggesting that fractal computation could be implemented directly through topological quantum gates.
2. Knot Theory and Fractal Braiding
    - Certain knot configurations exhibit recursive self-similarity, providing a direct mathematical link between topology and fractal recursion.
    - If a quantum computing system follows a self-replicating braiding protocol, fractal recursion could emerge naturally.

3. Topological Defects as Fractal Storage
    - Some topological defects exhibit fractal-like configurations, potentially allowing for quantum memory storage of recursive structures.
    - If such defects can be manipulated in a controlled way, they may provide a new method of non-iterative fractal computation.

These results suggest that quantum fractals do not necessarily need to be computed—they may already exist as fundamental topological structures in the quantum world. If so, quantum computing may not just simulate fractals, but rather extract and manipulate them as native quantum states.

6. Quantum Gravity, Fractal Spacetime, and the Ultimate Limits of Recursion

Quantum gravity seeks to unify the principles of quantum mechanics and general relativity, describing the fundamental nature of spacetime at the smallest scales. Unlike classical spacetime, which is assumed to be a smooth continuum, certain models suggest that at the Planck scale (10^−35 meters), spacetime may exhibit a fractal-like structure, with self-similar recursive patterns emerging due to quantum fluctuations.

This raises the question:
If spacetime itself is fractal-like at the smallest scales, does this provide a natural mechanism for infinite recursion in quantum computation?

Furthermore, quantum gravity introduces holographic constraints, which may limit the computational capacity of physical systems. This section explores whether quantum gravity imposes an upper bound on recursion or if it enables a new paradigm where recursion is an intrinsic feature of physical reality.

6.1. The Fractal Vacuum Hypothesis

Does Spacetime Itself Have a Fractal-Like Structure at the Planck Scale?

Several theories suggest that spacetime is not fundamentally smooth, but instead possesses self-similar, fractal-like features at microscopic scales. This arises from various approaches to quantum gravity, including:

1. Asymptotic Safety and Fractal Geometry
   - In some formulations of quantum gravity (e.g., asymptotic safety scenarios), the gravitational coupling constant exhibits fractal-like behavior at small scales.
   - The renormalization group (RG) flow of gravity suggests that spacetime effectively loses dimensions as we approach the Planck scale, behaving as a fractal with a non-integer Hausdorff dimension (~2.1 instead of 4).
2. Causal Dynamical Triangulations (CDT) and Fractal Spacetime
   - In the CDT approach, spacetime is described as an emergent structure composed of discrete building blocks.
   - Numerical simulations show that at very small scales, spacetime exhibits self-similar recursive patterns, similar to a fractal lattice.
3. Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) and Discrete Fractal Networks
   - In loop quantum gravity, spacetime is described in terms of spin networks, which have a naturally recursive, graph-like structure.
   - These networks resemble fractal graphs, where space emerges from the recursive connectivity of quantum states.
4. Holographic Duality and Fractal Entanglement
   - The holographic principle suggests that the information content of a region of space is encoded on its boundary.

- In some AdS/CFT models, holographic entanglement entropy scales in a fractal-like manner, implying that the internal structure of space itself may follow a recursive pattern.

These findings suggest that fractals are not just computational tools or emergent mathematical objects—they may be a fundamental feature of reality. If spacetime itself has an intrinsic fractal structure, then recursion is already built into the fabric of physics, meaning that infinite recursion might not be a computational limitation but an intrinsic property of quantum fields.

Implications for Encoding Infinite Recursion in a Quantum Computing Framework

If spacetime recursion is real, this could lead to several implications for quantum computing:

1. Fractal Spacetime as a Computational Medium
    - If spacetime itself has a self-similar structure, quantum computation might be able to leverage this natural recursion to perform deeper computations than classical computers.
    - A quantum system embedded in a fractal spacetime may have access to infinite recursion as a fundamental feature of its state space.
2. Self-Referential Computation in Quantum Gravity
    - Some proposals in quantum computing suggest that self-referential states, where a quantum system encodes its own evolution, could allow for deeper recursion.
    - If quantum gravity allows for spacetime to "compute itself" in a self-similar manner, fractal recursion may be directly instantiated at the level of fundamental physics.
3. Recursive Entanglement and Holographic Memory
    - If entanglement entropy in holographic theories follows a fractal pattern, then quantum computers based on holographic memory

architectures might be able to store and retrieve information recursively, bypassing classical memory constraints.

If these ideas are correct, then infinite recursion in quantum computing is not an impossibility—it is an inevitable feature of quantum gravity.

6.2. Computational Limits Imposed by Quantum Gravity

While the fractal vacuum hypothesis suggests that recursion may be an intrinsic feature of quantum spacetime, there are still fundamental limits imposed by quantum gravity that may constrain infinite recursion.

Can Quantum Gravity Permit Infinite Recursion in Hilbert Space?

Quantum mechanics allows for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, but physical computation must obey fundamental constraints, including:

1. The Bekenstein Bound and Finite Information Storage
    - The Bekenstein bound states that the maximum information entropy of a region of space is proportional to the surface area of its boundary.
    - This means that even if a fractal state could be represented in Hilbert space, only a finite amount of information can be stored within a given physical volume.
    - If recursion increases the required information content exponentially, the system will eventually hit a physical storage limit.
2. Holographic Entropy Bounds and Computational Complexity
    - The holographic principle suggests that the information within a volume is limited by the number of Planck-scale bits that can fit on its boundary.

- If quantum computation depends on this information bound, then recursion depth is physically limited by the surface area of the computing system.

3. Quantum Gravity and the Breakdown of Hilbert Space
    - Some models of quantum gravity suggest that Hilbert space itself may not be fundamental, but rather an emergent approximation.
    - If Hilbert space breaks down at Planck-scale recursion depths, then infinite recursion might not be computationally forbidden but physically meaningless beyond a certain scale.

The Role of Holographic Entropy Bounds in Limiting Computational Recursion

Even if fractal recursion is encoded in spacetime, holographic constraints place strict upper limits on recursion depth:

1. If fractals require an exponential amount of information to encode, they will eventually exceed the holographic bound, preventing infinite recursion.
2. Quantum computers operating near the holographic limit may need to adopt new computational paradigms, such as quantum gravity-based memory architectures.
3. If Hilbert space itself is emergent, then recursion in quantum computation may be limited by the fundamental nature of spacetime, rather than by classical computational constraints.

Summary of Quantum Gravity, Fractal Spacetime, and Recursion

Quantum gravity introduces both opportunities and constraints for infinite recursion in quantum computing.

1. If spacetime is fractal-like at the Planck scale, then fractal recursion may already be an intrinsic feature of physical reality, allowing for deeper forms of quantum computation.

2. Holographic dualities suggest that recursive structures may already be stored in quantum fields, meaning that fractal computation might not need to be explicitly performed—it may simply be extracted from the underlying structure of space.
3. However, quantum gravity also imposes fundamental constraints (e.g., holographic entropy bounds and the Bekenstein bound), which may prevent infinite recursion by limiting the total information that can be stored within any finite volume.

These considerations lead to a fundamental question:

Is recursion a true computational limitation, or is it a reflection of the physical structure of the universe?

If fractal recursion is a natural feature of quantum gravity, then quantum computing may need to be redefined not as a method for processing information, but as a way to access and manipulate recursive structures already embedded in the fabric of spacetime itself.

7. Discussion: Can a Quantum Computer Compute an Infinite Fractal?

Fractals are mathematical objects defined by infinite recursion, where self-similarity exists at every scale. Classical computers can only approximate fractals to finite depths due to computational resource constraints, such as processing power, memory, and numerical precision limits.

Quantum computers, operating in Hilbert space, theoretically offer a different computational paradigm. Unlike classical systems that require iterative computation, quantum systems can store and manipulate highly entangled, non-local states, which may allow for intrinsic representations of recursion rather than explicit stepwise iteration. However, whether quantum computers can truly compute an infinite fractal remains an open question.

This section explores the theoretical support for infinite recursion, the practical limitations of current quantum computing models, and alternative quantum computing paradigms that might allow for true infinite fractal computation.

7.1. Theoretical Support for Infinite Recursion in Quantum Field Systems

Quantum field theory (QFT) provides mathematically infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, where quantum states are represented as superpositions of field excitations. If quantum computing could leverage these properties, fractals might be stored or computed intrinsically within a quantum system.

Fractal Structures in Quantum Fields

Several physical principles suggest that fractals could be encoded within quantum fields without requiring explicit computation:

1. Renormalization Group (RG) Flow as Fractal Evolution
    - The renormalization group (RG) in QFT describes how physical theories change at different energy scales, often displaying self-similarity.
    - If quantum systems can be structured to follow an RG-like flow, then a fractal may emerge naturally in the system's quantum state.
2. Holographic Duality and Fractal Storage
    - The AdS/CFT correspondence suggests that quantum gravitational systems in higher dimensions can be holographically encoded in lower-dimensional boundary theories.
    - If the bulk-boundary correspondence naturally supports recursive information structures, then a quantum system might be able to store and manipulate fractal recursion as a fundamental feature of its wavefunction.

3. Fractal Wavefunctions and Self-Similarity in Quantum Mechanics
   - Some quantum systems exhibit multifractal wavefunctions, where the probability distribution of a particle's location follows a fractal pattern.
   - If fractal wavefunctions can be designed within quantum computers, fractal recursion could be represented as an intrinsic quantum property, rather than being computed iteratively.
4. Quantum Walks and Fractal Exploration
   - Quantum random walks can explore multiple paths simultaneously in superposition, and when constrained within fractal geometries, they exhibit fractal-like probability distributions.
   - This suggests that a quantum system could inherently generate fractal recursion by evolving a quantum walk within a recursive structure.

While these theoretical arguments suggest that quantum computers could encode fractals non-iteratively, practical limitations may still prevent the realization of true infinite recursion.

7.2. Practical Limitations: Measurement Collapse, Noise, and Finite Qubit Implementations

Even though quantum systems provide non-classical computational resources, they are still constrained by physical and practical limitations, including:

1. Measurement Collapse and the Loss of Recursive Structure

Quantum mechanics dictates that when a quantum state is measured, it collapses into a single eigenstate, effectively reducing the superposition of recursive structures into a finite snapshot.

- If a fractal were encoded as a superposition of recursive states, measurement would collapse this state into a single finite-depth fractal, preventing access to truly infinite recursion.
- This means that even if a quantum fractal state exists mathematically within Hilbert space, we may never be able to extract or observe it in its infinite form.

2. Noise and Decoherence in Quantum Systems

Quantum computers are extremely sensitive to environmental noise, which can introduce errors that disrupt long-range entanglement needed for fractal recursion.

- Decoherence time sets a limit on how long quantum fractal states can persist before collapsing due to interactions with the environment.
- Quantum error correction (QEC) helps maintain coherence, but it introduces additional resource constraints, making the scalability of fractal recursion a challenge.

3. Finite Qubit Implementations and the Limits of Computability

Current quantum computers have a limited number of qubits, which restricts how much information can be stored and manipulated.

- Since a fractal requires infinite recursion, even a quantum system encoding a fractal state must eventually truncate recursion depth due to hardware constraints.
- While topological quantum computation and quantum tensor networks may improve scalability, they still operate under the holographic entropy bounds, which limit the total amount of information that can be encoded in a finite region of space.

These limitations suggest that while quantum computers may outperform classical systems in fractal computations, they may not be able to encode truly infinite recursion without fundamentally new quantum computing models.

7.3. Alternative Paradigms: Could a New Quantum Computing Framework Enable True Infinite Recursion?

If existing quantum computing models impose limitations on infinite recursion, an alternative framework may be required to fully realize fractal computation. Some emerging ideas include:

1. Quantum Gravity-Based Computation
    - If quantum gravity permits recursive structures at the Planck scale, quantum computers could be designed to leverage the self-similar nature of spacetime itself.
    - A computation embedded in a holographic spacetime structure could allow for self-referential recursion, storing fractal structures non-locally in a quantum gravitational network.
2. Topological Quantum Memory for Recursive Storage
    - Some topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) describe topological defects that exhibit fractal-like configurations.
    - If a quantum memory architecture is based on topological recursion, fractals could be stored as stable topological structures, rather than being computed stepwise.
3. Self-Organizing Quantum Systems
    - Some quantum many-body systems exhibit self-organization into emergent fractal-like structures.
    - If quantum computation can exploit self-organization dynamics, then fractal recursion might be an emergent, rather than computed, property of a quantum system.
4. Continuous-Variable Quantum Computing (CVQC) and Fractal Encoding
    - In CVQC, quantum information is stored in continuous quantum states, such as the quantum harmonic oscillator.

- Unlike qubit-based computation, CVQC allows for potentially infinite information storage in a single quantum mode, which may provide a way to encode fractal recursion without truncation.

These alternative models suggest that if quantum computing is extended beyond the gate-based paradigm, fractals may be more naturally encoded as intrinsic features of quantum systems, rather than requiring explicit recursive computation.

7.4. Summary of Discussion: Can a Quantum Computer Compute an Infinite Fractal?

1. Theoretically, quantum systems provide mechanisms for fractal encoding, including:
    - Renormalization group flow in QFT.
    - Holographic duality and fractal entanglement.
    - Quantum fractal wavefunctions and quantum walks on fractal geometries.
2. However, practical limitations prevent full realization of infinite recursion:
    - Measurement collapse reduces recursion depth upon observation.
    - Decoherence and noise limit the coherence time of quantum fractal states.
    - Finite qubit implementations constrain the size of computable fractals.
3. Alternative paradigms, such as quantum gravity-based computation, self-organizing quantum systems, and continuous-variable quantum computing, may provide new pathways toward infinite recursion.

Thus, while current quantum computing models may not fully support infinite recursion, future advancements in quantum gravity and topological computation could provide a pathway toward realizing fractal recursion as a fundamental aspect of quantum information.

7. Discussion: Can a Quantum Computer Compute an Infinite Fractal?

The question of whether a quantum computer can compute an infinite fractal challenges the fundamental boundaries of quantum computation, quantum field theory, and information theory. While classical computers are inherently limited by finite precision, iterative recursion, and memory constraints, quantum systems offer alternative mechanisms—such as Hilbert space representations, entanglement, and topological computation—that might allow for deeper or even intrinsic fractal recursion.

However, significant theoretical and practical challenges remain. This section examines whether quantum systems can genuinely achieve infinite recursion, the constraints imposed by quantum measurement, and potential alternative computing paradigms that could enable fractal recursion beyond classical limits.

Theoretical Support for Infinite Recursion in Quantum Field Systems

1. Hilbert Space and Quantum State Encoding
    - Since Hilbert space is infinite-dimensional, in principle, a quantum system should be able to store an infinitely recursive fractal state.
    - If fractals can be encoded intrinsically as quantum states, recursion would not require explicit iterative computation.
    - The challenge is whether this information can be extracted via measurement without collapsing the fractal's deeper structure.
2. Quantum Field Theory (QFT) and Renormalization Group (RG) Flow
    - Some quantum field theories exhibit scale-invariant behaviors, suggesting that self-similarity is already present in physical systems.
    - If fractal recursion is encoded in RG flow, a quantum computing framework based on QFT might bypass the need for explicit iteration.

3. Holography and Recursive Entanglement
    - The holographic principle suggests that recursive quantum states may already exist within lower-dimensional projections of higher-dimensional quantum fields.
    - If quantum computers could access holographic entanglement structures, they might be able to retrieve fractal recursion without the need for stepwise computation.
4. Topological Quantum Computation and Braided Fractals
    - Non-Abelian anyons follow braid-group statistics, which naturally exhibit self-referential behavior.
    - If a quantum computing system could encode braided fractal structures, infinite recursion might be achieved intrinsically rather than iteratively.

These arguments suggest that, in principle, quantum mechanics does not forbid the encoding of infinite fractals—the real challenge lies in whether these fractals can be measured, manipulated, and computationally accessed within physical quantum systems.

Practical Limitations: Measurement Collapse, Noise, and Finite Qubit Implementations

Even if a quantum system can theoretically encode infinite recursion, several practical barriers prevent its full realization.

1. Quantum Measurement Collapse
    - Any measurement in quantum mechanics collapses a superposition state into a definite eigenstate, meaning that any fractal structure encoded in superposition is lost upon measurement.
    - This limits the ability to extract deeper recursion layers, as observation inherently disrupts quantum coherence.

2. Decoherence and Environmental Noise
    - Real-world quantum systems are subject to decoherence, meaning that quantum states lose coherence due to interactions with the environment.
    - Any deep fractal recursion encoded in entanglement patterns would be highly fragile and susceptible to noise, making practical implementation challenging.
3. Finite Qubit Registers and Memory Constraints
    - While Hilbert space is infinite-dimensional, physical quantum computers have a finite number of qubits, limiting their ability to store or process infinite recursion.
    - The holographic Bekenstein bound imposes an upper limit on how much information can be stored within any finite physical system.
4. Algorithmic Complexity in Quantum Circuits
    - Even if a quantum computer can store a fractal recursively, designing quantum algorithms that allow for efficient retrieval and manipulation of that fractal is an open challenge.

These practical constraints suggest that even if fractals exist as intrinsic structures in quantum systems, they may not be directly computable in a useful way under current quantum computing architectures.

Alternative Paradigms: Could a New Quantum Computing Framework Enable True Infinite Recursion?

Given the theoretical promise and practical challenges, an important question is: Are there alternative computing paradigms that could circumvent these limitations?

1. Quantum-Gravity-Based Computing
    - If spacetime itself has a fractal structure, then a quantum computing model that directly leverages quantum gravitational effects might be able to store and compute fractals without iterative processing.
    - Possible approaches include holographic quantum computing or Planck-scale quantum computation that manipulates fractal spacetime directly.
2. Topological Quantum Memory Architectures
    - If topological defects can store fractal information, a quantum computing system based on topological braiding, knots, or anyonic statistics might allow for stable recursive computation.
3. Non-Standard Quantum Mechanics and Post-Quantum Theories
    - If standard quantum mechanics places fundamental constraints on infinite recursion, it may be necessary to explore post-quantum computing paradigms, such as those incorporating nonlinear quantum mechanics or extended Hilbert space formulations.

These approaches suggest that while current quantum computing models may not allow true infinite recursion, future developments in quantum gravity, holography, or topological computing might provide alternative pathways for encoding and processing fractals at an intrinsic level.

---

8. Conclusion

This paper has explored whether quantum computers can compute infinite fractals, examining theoretical possibilities, practical limitations, and potential breakthroughs in quantum information science.

Summary of Key Arguments for and Against Encoding Fractals in Hilbert Space

1. Arguments Supporting Infinite Recursion
    - Hilbert space is infinite-dimensional, meaning that in principle, a quantum system should be able to encode infinitely recursive fractal structures.
    - Quantum field theory (QFT) and the renormalization group (RG) suggest that self-similar structures exist intrinsically in physics.
    - Holography and entanglement scaling indicate that fractals may already be embedded within quantum states, potentially allowing for non-iterative fractal representation.
    - Topological quantum computation provides mechanisms where braiding and knotting could store fractals as fundamental quantum states, rather than needing iterative computation.
2. Challenges and Constraints
    - Quantum measurement collapse prevents direct extraction of infinite recursion layers.
    - Finite qubit implementations and decoherence limit the storage and computational stability of fractal quantum states.
    - Holographic entropy bounds suggest that infinite recursion may be physically forbidden in finite quantum systems.
    - Current quantum algorithms are not designed to retrieve or manipulate fractals beyond a finite recursion depth.

Implications for Quantum Computation, Quantum Gravity, and Information Theory

- If fractals are fundamentally embedded in quantum fields, this could suggest that quantum computation should shift from iterative processing to direct fractal state extraction.

- If quantum gravity inherently contains self-similar structures, then future computing paradigms may need to incorporate holographic memory architectures or spacetime-based computing models.
- If infinite recursion is ultimately constrained by entropy bounds, then quantum computation may need to redefine how recursion is represented, possibly through nonstandard Hilbert space formulations or topological structures.

Future Research Directions: Can Quantum Systems Compute Beyond Classical Limits?

Given the insights from this study, several open research questions remain:

1. Can we design a quantum algorithm that represents fractal recursion without explicit iterative computation?
2. Can a quantum computer harness holographic principles to extract self-referential fractal structures?
3. Can topological quantum systems, such as non-Abelian anyons, be engineered to encode recursive quantum states?
4. Can quantum gravity provide new computational paradigms where recursion is a natural consequence of spacetime structure?

Ultimately, the pursuit of quantum fractal computation is not just about computational power—it may provide deep insights into the nature of recursion in the universe itself, challenging the very foundations of physics, mathematics, and information theory.

References

1. Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982). *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*. W. H. Freeman and Company.
2. Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal Upper Bound on the Entropy-to-Energy Ratio for Bounded Systems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.287
3. Hooft, G. ‘t (1993). Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity. *arXiv preprint*, gr-qc/9310026.
4. Maldacena, J. (1999). The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2(2), 231–252. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961
5. Susskind, L. (1995). The World as a Hologram. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36(11), 6377–6396. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531249
6. Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715–775. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715
7. Haah, J. (2011). Local Stabilizer Codes in Three Dimensions Without String Logical Operators. *Physical Review A*, 83(4), 042330. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.042330
8. Freedman, M. H., Kitaev, A., & Wang, Z. (2002). Simulation of Topological Field Theories by Quantum Computers. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 227(3), 587–603. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200200635
9. Kitaev, A. Y. (2003). Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation by Anyons. *Annals of Physics*, 303(1), 2–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00018-0
10. Lloyd, S. (1996). Universal Quantum Simulators. *Science*, 273(5278), 1073–1078. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.273.5278.1073
11. Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (10th Anniversary ed.). Cambridge University Press.

12. Bombelli, L., Lee, J., Meyer, D., & Sorkin, R. (1987). Space-Time as a Causal Set. *Physical Review Letters*, 59(5), 521–524. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.521

13. Ambjørn, J., Jurkiewicz, J., & Loll, R. (2005). Reconstructing the Universe. *Physical Review D*, 72(6), 064014. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.064014

14. Carlip, S. (2017). Dimension and Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 34(19), 193001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa8535

15. Hamma, A., Ionicioiu, R., & Zanardi, P. (2005). Ground State Entanglement and Geometric Entropy in the Kitaev Model. *Physical Review A*, 71(2), 022315. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.022315

16. Preskill, J. (1998). Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation. *arXiv preprint*, quant-ph/9712048.

17. Shor, P. W. (1994). Algorithms for Quantum Computation: Discrete Logarithms and Factoring. *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'94)*, 124–134. https://doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1994.365700

18. Grover, L. K. (1996). A Fast Quantum Mechanical Algorithm for Database Search. *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '96)*, 212–219. https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866

19. Aaronson, S. (2008). The Limits of Quantum Computers. *Scientific American*, 298(3), 62–69. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0308-62

20. Peres, A. (1995). *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

$$Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U^t|\psi(0)\rangle$$

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U|\psi(t-1)\rangle$$

$$S \le \frac{A}{4G}$$