Nuked by Data Centers: Big Tech's Nuclear Gambit in the AI Energy Race

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

February 24, 2026

The exponential growth of artificial intelligence (AI) and cloud computing has transformed data centers into voracious energy consumers, projected to devour up to 8% of U.S. electricity by 2030. As renewable sources struggle to meet the relentless demand for reliable, 24/7 baseload power, Big Tech giants like Google, Amazon, Microsoft, and Meta have turned to nuclear energy—a once-dormant industry now experiencing a revival through multi-billion-dollar deals. These partnerships involve restarting shuttered reactors, funding small modular reactors (SMRs), and securing long-term power purchase agreements (PPAs) to fuel AI-driven operations. While proponents hail this as a path to carbon-free energy and technological innovation, critics decry it as greenwashing, where tech firms offload environmental and financial risks onto utilities and communities. This paper explores the intricate web of these alliances, examining their economic implications, technological challenges, regulatory hurdles, and societal impacts. By dissecting key deals from 2024-2026, we uncover how AI's energy hunger is reshaping the nuclear landscape, potentially accelerating a "nuclear renaissance" or exacerbating grid strains and public opposition. Ultimately, this convergence of silicon and fission raises profound questions about sustainable energy in the digital age.

Keywords: nuclear power revival, AI data centers, Big Tech energy deals, small modular reactors, power purchase agreements, carbon-free baseload, nuclear renaissance, energy demand projection, hyperscaler partnerships, grid integration challenges, greenwashing critiques, SMR development.

Outline

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has propelled data centers into the forefront of global energy consumption, creating an unprecedented demand for reliable and sustainable power sources. As AI models grow in complexity, requiring immense computational resources, the energy footprint of these facilities has escalated dramatically. This surge is not merely a technical challenge but a pivotal intersection of technology, economics, and environmental policy. Big Tech companies, once champions of renewable energy, are now forging alliances with the nuclear sector to secure baseload power that renewables alone cannot consistently provide. These developments signal a potential renaissance for nuclear energy, yet they also raise concerns about costs, safety, and equity. This section sets the stage by examining the roots of AI-driven energy demands, the pivot toward nuclear options, and the paper's analytical framework.

1.1 Background on AI-Driven Energy Demand

The proliferation of AI applications, from machine learning algorithms to generative models, has transformed data centers from mere storage hubs into high-performance computing behemoths. Projections indicate that by 2030, data centers could account for up to 8% of total U.S. electricity consumption, a figure driven largely by the energy-intensive nature of AI training and inference processes. For instance, training a single large language model can consume as much electricity as hundreds of households annually, while ongoing operations demand continuous, uninterruptible power to maintain service levels. This demand is compounded by the global expansion of cloud services, edge computing, and real-time AI applications in sectors like healthcare, finance, and autonomous vehicles. Historically, data centers relied on grid power supplemented by renewables such as solar and wind, but the intermittent nature of these sources has exposed vulnerabilities in meeting 24/7 requirements. Economic factors, including rising electricity costs and regulatory pressures for carbon neutrality, further amplify the urgency. In regions with high data center density, such as Virginia and Texas, grid strains have already led to blackouts and calls for infrastructure upgrades, underscoring the need for innovative energy solutions.

1.2 The Shift to Nuclear Solutions

Faced with the limitations of renewables for baseload needs, Big Tech has increasingly turned to nuclear energy as a viable alternative. Nuclear power offers high energy density, minimal carbon emissions during operation, and the reliability required for always-on data centers. This shift gained momentum in 2024 with landmark announcements from companies like Google and Microsoft, who began investing in reactor restarts and new small modular reactor (SMR) projects. Unlike traditional large-scale nuclear plants, SMRs promise modularity, faster deployment, and enhanced safety features, making them appealing for co-location with data centers. The rationale extends beyond reliability; nuclear aligns with corporate sustainability goals under frameworks like net-zero commitments, allowing firms to claim carbon-free operations. However, this pivot is not without precedent—earlier experiments with on-site power generation evolved into strategic partnerships with utilities and developers. Policy support, including federal incentives from acts like the Inflation Reduction Act, has facilitated this transition, but it also introduces complexities such as long lead times for construction and public skepticism rooted in historical nuclear incidents.

1.3 Scope and Objectives of the Paper

This paper focuses on the intersections between Big Tech's data center expansions and the nuclear industry from 2024 to 2026, analyzing key deals, technological innovations, and broader implications. It draws on case studies of partnerships involving Google, Amazon, Microsoft, and Meta to illustrate trends in power purchase agreements, SMR funding, and reactor uprates. The objectives are threefold: first, to map the economic and technological drivers behind these alliances; second, to evaluate their environmental and societal impacts, including potential greenwashing and community effects; and third, to forecast future scenarios in the AI-nuclear nexus. While emphasizing U.S.-centric developments, the analysis touches on global ramifications. Limitations include the evolving nature of these projects, with data current as of early 2026. Ultimately, the paper aims to provide a balanced perspective for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers navigating this dynamic landscape.

2. Historical Context of Nuclear Power in the U.S.

Nuclear power in the United States has undergone a tumultuous journey, from its promising inception as a symbol of technological prowess to periods of rapid expansion, public backlash, and eventual decline. Rooted in wartime innovation, the industry evolved into a cornerstone of energy policy during the Cold War era, only to face stagnation amid safety concerns, economic pressures, and shifting priorities. Understanding this history is crucial for contextualizing the current resurgence driven by Big Tech's energy needs. This section traces the trajectory from the Atomic Age's optimism to the industry's doldrums, before highlighting recent milestones that signal a potential revival. By examining these phases, we gain insight into the structural, regulatory, and societal factors that have shaped nuclear energy's role in America's power landscape.

2.1 Evolution from the Atomic Age to Stagnation

The Atomic Age dawned in the aftermath of World War II, with the United States leading the charge in harnessing nuclear fission for peaceful purposes. The 1946 Atomic Energy Act established the Atomic Energy Commission to oversee both military and civilian applications, setting the stage for commercial nuclear power. The first civilian reactor, Shippingport in Pennsylvania, went online in 1957, generating electricity from pressurized water reactor technology adapted from naval submarines. This era was marked by euphoria; President Eisenhower's "Atoms for Peace" initiative in 1953 promised unlimited clean energy, spurring investments and reactor constructions. By the 1960s and 1970s, nuclear plants proliferated, with over 100 reactors ordered as utilities sought to meet growing electricity demands amid oil crises. Designs like boiling water and pressurized water reactors dominated, offering economies of scale and reliability.

However, the tide turned with mounting challenges. The 1979 Three Mile Island accident in Pennsylvania exposed vulnerabilities in reactor safety and emergency protocols, eroding public trust despite no fatalities. This incident, coupled with the 1986 Chernobyl disaster abroad, amplified anti-nuclear sentiments and led to stricter regulations. Economic factors compounded the issues: soaring construction costs, often ballooning from billions to tens of billions per plant, made projects unviable. Delays from regulatory hurdles and lawsuits further deterred investors. By the 1980s, cancellations became rampant, with no new reactor orders after 1978 until the 2010s. The industry stagnated, with operational reactors aging and many decommissioning prematurely. Environmental movements, focusing on waste disposal and proliferation risks, shifted policy toward renewables and natural gas, leaving nuclear's share of U.S. electricity hovering around 20% by the early 2000s, down from its peak potential.

2.2 Recent Regulatory and Technological Milestones

The 21st century has witnessed tentative steps toward nuclear revival, fueled by climate imperatives and technological advancements. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 introduced loan guarantees and tax credits, incentivizing new builds like Vogtle Units 3 and 4 in Georgia, the first new reactors in decades, though plagued by delays and cost overruns exceeding $30 billion. Regulatory reforms under the Nuclear Regulatory Commission streamlined licensing, adopting risk-informed approaches to expedite approvals.

Technological milestones have centered on advanced reactors, particularly small modular reactors designed for factory assembly, reduced costs, and enhanced safety. NuScale's SMR design received design certification in 2020, paving the way for deployments. Kairos Power's fluoride salt-cooled reactor achieved a historic construction permit in 2023, marking the first non-light-water reactor approval in over 50 years. These innovations incorporate passive safety systems, higher efficiency, and flexibility for grid integration. Federal support via the Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program, allocating billions, has accelerated prototypes like TerraPower's sodium-cooled fast reactor. By 2026, restarts of plants like Three Mile Island Unit 1 and Duane Arnold underscore a pragmatic approach to leveraging existing infrastructure. These developments, amid rising carbon goals, position nuclear as a bridge to a low-emission future, though challenges like supply chain issues and workforce shortages persist.

3. The Rise of Hyperscale Data Centers

Hyperscale data centers, operated by tech behemoths such as Amazon Web Services, Google Cloud, Microsoft Azure, and Meta, have emerged as critical infrastructure in the digital economy, powering everything from cloud storage to artificial intelligence workloads. These facilities, characterized by their massive scale—often spanning hundreds of thousands of square feet and housing tens of thousands of servers—represent a shift from traditional enterprise data centers to centralized, efficient hubs designed for global connectivity and rapid scalability. The rise began in the early 2010s, fueled by the explosion of internet services, mobile apps, and big data analytics, but has accelerated dramatically with the advent of AI and machine learning. By 2026, the global hyperscale market is valued at over a trillion dollars, with the U.S. hosting the majority of these centers in regions like Northern Virginia, Oregon, and Texas. This growth not only drives economic development through job creation and investments but also poses significant challenges in energy management, environmental sustainability, and infrastructure resilience. As AI demands intensify, these centers are at the heart of the debate over how to balance technological progress with energy constraints.

3.1 Energy Consumption Trends

The energy appetite of hyperscale data centers has grown exponentially, mirroring the computational demands of emerging technologies. In the U.S., data centers consumed approximately 4 percent of total electricity in 2022, a figure projected to double to 8 percent by 2030, with AI contributing up to 160 percent more power needs compared to pre-AI levels. A single hyperscale facility can draw anywhere from 100 to 500 megawatts, equivalent to the power usage of a mid-sized city. Key drivers include the proliferation of AI training, where models like large language processors require gigawatt-hours of electricity for development, and inference tasks that maintain ongoing operations. For example, the energy for training one advanced AI model can exceed that of 100 average households over a year. Geographic clustering exacerbates local grid pressures, as seen in Virginia where data centers account for 25 percent of the state's power demand. Efficiency improvements, such as advanced cooling systems and high-density servers, have tempered some growth, but overall trends point to a tripling of global data center energy use by 2030, prompting urgent calls for sustainable sourcing and innovation in power management.

3.2 Limitations of Renewables for Baseload Needs

While renewable energy sources like solar and wind have been pivotal in reducing carbon emissions, they fall short in providing the consistent baseload power essential for hyperscale data centers. Baseload refers to the minimum level of demand on an electrical grid over 24 hours, requiring uninterrupted supply to avoid downtime that could cost millions in lost revenue. Solar and wind are inherently intermittent, dependent on weather and time of day, leading to variability that can disrupt operations. For instance, solar output peaks midday but drops to zero at night, while wind fluctuates with atmospheric conditions, necessitating backup systems like batteries or fossil fuels. Storage solutions, such as lithium-ion batteries, are advancing but remain costly and limited in scale—capable of bridging hours, not days of low generation. Transmission infrastructure challenges further complicate integration, as renewable-rich areas are often remote from data center hubs, resulting in losses and delays. Although hybrids combining renewables with storage are gaining traction, they cannot yet match the reliability of always-available sources, pushing operators toward alternatives that ensure 99.999 percent uptime critical for AI and cloud services.

4. Key Players in Big Tech-Nuclear Alliances

Big Tech companies have become central figures in the resurgence of nuclear energy, leveraging their financial clout and sustainability mandates to forge alliances with nuclear developers and utilities. These players—primarily Google, Amazon, Microsoft, and Meta—control vast data center networks that demand enormous power, prompting investments in nuclear to ensure reliable, carbon-free electricity. Their involvement spans funding innovative reactor designs, securing long-term power contracts, and advocating for policy changes. This section profiles the key actors, detailing their strategies, motivations, and contributions to the nuclear landscape. By examining each company's approach, we highlight how their distinct corporate cultures and operational needs shape these partnerships, from aggressive SMR investments to pragmatic reactor restarts.

4.1 Google's Strategic Investments

Google has positioned itself as a pioneer in nuclear adoption for data centers, emphasizing advanced technologies to meet its ambitious net-zero goals by 2030. The company's strategy focuses on small modular reactors for their scalability and potential co-location with facilities, reducing transmission losses. A flagship initiative is its partnership with Kairos Power, announced in 2024, to develop and purchase power from up to seven fluoride salt-cooled reactors, totaling 500 megawatts by 2035. This includes a power purchase agreement through the Tennessee Valley Authority for the Hermes 2 reactor, set to deliver 50 megawatts by 2030. Google has also invested in fusion via a deal with Commonwealth Fusion Systems for 200 megawatts from a planned plant in Virginia. These moves are driven by Google's data centers' projected tripling of energy use, with AI workloads alone requiring gigawatts of additional capacity. Beyond funding, Google provides computational resources for reactor simulations, accelerating design iterations and safety analyses.

4.2 Amazon's Expansion into Nuclear

Amazon's foray into nuclear reflects a pragmatic, diversified approach to powering its AWS infrastructure, which hosts a significant portion of global cloud computing. The company prioritizes a mix of reactor restarts, new builds, and on-site generation to hedge against grid volatility. Key expansions include its 2024 acquisition of Talen Energy's Cumulus data center campus, adjacent to the Susquehanna nuclear plant, securing 1.92 gigawatts through 2042 via an expanded power purchase agreement. Amazon has also committed to X-Energy's high-temperature gas reactors, partnering with Energy Northwest for small modular reactor deployments in Washington state, aiming for initial units in the early 2030s. Investments extend to exploring microreactors for remote facilities, aligning with Amazon's global footprint. Motivated by sustainability pledges and the need for 99.99 percent uptime, these efforts address the energy demands of AI services like Alexa and machine learning platforms, while navigating community concerns through revised grid connections rather than direct plant ties.

4.3 Microsoft's Reactor Restart Initiatives

Microsoft has adopted a revivalist strategy, focusing on restarting and uprating existing nuclear assets to quickly scale carbon-free power for its Azure cloud and AI operations. The company's landmark 2024 agreement with Constellation Energy to reactivate Three Mile Island Unit 1—renamed the Crane Clean Energy Center—commits to 835 megawatts by 2028 in a $16 billion, 20-year deal. This initiative restores a plant shuttered in 2019, emphasizing job creation and leveraging established infrastructure. Microsoft has also explored uprates at other sites, enhancing output through efficiency upgrades without new construction. These moves stem from Microsoft's goal of carbon negativity by 2030, with data centers and AI tools like Copilot driving power needs projected to exceed several gigawatts. The company supplements restarts with investments in advanced designs, such as Oklo's microreactors, but prioritizes speed and reliability, advocating for regulatory reforms to expedite licensing and safety reviews.

4.4 Meta and Emerging Partnerships

Meta, operator of vast social platforms and AI-driven features, is building a portfolio of nuclear partnerships to fuel its data centers amid surging demands from services like Instagram and

WhatsApp. The company's approach emphasizes long-term agreements and support for next-generation reactors, as seen in its 2025 deals with Vistra, TerraPower, and Oklo for up to 6.6 gigawatts by 2035, including 2.6 gigawatts from uprates in Ohio and Pennsylvania starting late 2026. A 20-year pact with Constellation Energy extends the Clinton plant's life, providing 1.1 gigawatts from 2027. Meta's December 2024 request for proposals seeks 1-4 gigawatts of new nuclear capacity, inviting bids for small modular reactors or large plants operational by the early 2030s. Driven by AI integrations like Llama models, these emerging alliances aim to achieve carbon-free operations while fostering innovation through funding for sodium-cooled and microreactor technologies, though they remain in exploratory phases compared to peers' more advanced implementations.

5. Landmark Deals and Agreements (2024-2026)

The period from 2024 to 2026 marked a pivotal surge in collaborations between Big Tech and the nuclear sector, as data center operators sought to secure vast amounts of reliable, low-carbon energy to support AI expansion. These agreements encompassed power purchase agreements for existing capacity, investments in emerging small modular reactor technologies, and initiatives to revive dormant reactors. Driven by projections of data centers consuming up to eight percent of U.S. electricity by 2030, companies like Google, Amazon, Microsoft, and Meta committed billions to these ventures, often leveraging federal incentives. While these deals promised to bolster nuclear's role in decarbonization, they also sparked debates over economic viability, timelines, and community impacts. This section dissects the core elements of these landmark arrangements, highlighting their scope, innovations, and strategic implications.

5.1 Power Purchase Agreements Overview

Power purchase agreements have emerged as the cornerstone of Big Tech's nuclear strategy, enabling long-term commitments to buy electricity from nuclear sources at fixed rates, thus providing revenue certainty for developers and utilities. These contracts typically span 20 to 25 years, aligning with the operational lifespans of reactors and allowing tech firms to offset their carbon footprints through renewable energy credits. A notable example is Meta's series of agreements, including a 20-year deal with Constellation Energy to extend the Clinton plant in Illinois, securing 1.1 gigawatts starting in 2027. Similarly, Google entered a pioneering arrangement with Kairos Power via the Tennessee Valley Authority, committing to 500 megawatts from a fleet of small modular reactors by 2035, with the initial 50 megawatts online by 2030. Amazon expanded its portfolio with Talen Energy, locking in 1.92 gigawatts from the Susquehanna plant through 2042, while Microsoft sealed a $16 billion, 20-year pact with

Constellation to restart Three Mile Island Unit 1, delivering 835 megawatts by 2028. These PPAs not only de-risk nuclear projects but also integrate with broader sustainability goals, though they often require grid upgrades and face scrutiny over potential rate hikes for non-corporate consumers.

5.2 SMR Development and Funding

Small modular reactors represent a frontier in nuclear innovation, with Big Tech injecting capital to accelerate their commercialization. These compact, factory-built units promise cost reductions through scalability and faster deployment compared to traditional large reactors. Google's partnership with Kairos Power exemplifies this, funding the development of fluoride salt-cooled reactors for data center power, with plans for multiple units totaling 500 megawatts. Amazon has invested in X-Energy's high-temperature gas reactors, collaborating with Energy Northwest for deployments in Washington state, targeting up to 80 megawatts per module in the 2030s. Meta supports TerraPower and Oklo in advancing sodium-cooled and microreactor designs, part of a broader portfolio aiming for 6.6 gigawatts by 2035. Funding mechanisms include direct investments, milestone-based grants, and co-development deals, often bolstered by the Department of Energy's Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program. These initiatives address historical nuclear challenges like construction delays, but hurdles remain, including supply chain dependencies for high-assay low-enriched uranium and unproven economic models projecting costs at two to four thousand dollars per kilowatt.

5.3 Reactor Restarts and Uprates

Reviving idle reactors and enhancing existing ones offer a quicker path to additional capacity than new builds, capitalizing on established infrastructure. Microsoft's deal to restart Three Mile Island Unit 1, dormant since 2019, stands out, renaming it the Crane Clean Energy Center and committing to 835 megawatts for its data centers. Google's agreement with NextEra Energy to reactivate the Duane Arnold plant in Iowa targets 600 megawatts by 2029, involving significant upgrades to safety systems. Meta's arrangements with Vistra include uprates—increasing output through efficiency improvements—at plants in Ohio and Pennsylvania, contributing to 2.6 gigawatts starting late 2026. These efforts often involve relicensing with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, incorporating modern digital controls and seismic reinforcements. While restarts can restore jobs and utilize stranded assets, they face opposition from local communities concerned about safety and waste, as seen in public hearings for Three Mile Island. Uprates, meanwhile, provide incremental gains without new construction, but require substantial investments in turbine and cooling enhancements to boost efficiency by up to 20 percent.

6. Technological Aspects of Nuclear Integration

The integration of nuclear technology into Big Tech's data center ecosystem represents a fusion of high-energy physics with digital infrastructure, aiming to provide scalable, low-emission power for AI computations. Traditional nuclear plants, with their large-scale designs, are ill-suited for the flexible, distributed needs of modern data centers, prompting a focus on innovative reactors that can be deployed closer to load centers. This shift involves adapting nuclear systems for modularity, efficiency, and resilience, while addressing the unique demands of always-on computing environments. Key aspects include small modular reactors that promise factory fabrication and rapid installation, alongside advanced designs incorporating next-generation coolants and fuels. These technologies not only enhance energy density but also align with sustainability targets by minimizing water use and waste. However, integration challenges persist, such as ensuring grid compatibility, managing thermal outputs for co-generation (e.g., using waste heat for cooling servers), and navigating the complexities of licensing novel systems. This section delves into the promises and practicalities of small modular reactors, followed by an exploration of advanced designs and their safety enhancements, illustrating how these innovations are tailored to fuel the AI energy race.

6.1 Small Modular Reactors: Promises and Realities

Small modular reactors embody a paradigm shift in nuclear engineering, designed to overcome the drawbacks of conventional gigawatt-scale plants through smaller, prefabricated units that can be assembled on-site like building blocks. Promises include reduced capital costs—potentially halving the price per kilowatt compared to large reactors—due to economies of series production in factories, shorter construction timelines (as little as three years versus a decade), and enhanced flexibility for incremental capacity additions. For data centers, this modularity allows for co-location, where reactors could be sited adjacent to facilities to minimize transmission losses and provide direct, reliable power for AI workloads. Proponents highlight their ability to operate in remote or grid-constrained areas, supporting Big Tech's global expansion. Realities, however, temper the optimism: early projects have faced delays and cost overruns, with prototypes like NuScale's design seeing expenses rise amid supply chain issues and regulatory scrutiny. Scalability remains unproven at commercial levels, and fuel supply chains for high-assay low-enriched uranium are underdeveloped. Despite these hurdles, ongoing demonstrations, such as those backed by Google and Amazon, suggest SMRs could become viable by the 2030s, provided manufacturing matures and standardization advances.

6.2 Advanced Reactor Designs and Safety Features

Advanced reactor designs go beyond traditional light-water systems, incorporating innovative coolants, fuels, and configurations to boost efficiency, safety, and sustainability for data center applications. Examples include molten salt reactors, like Kairos Power's fluoride salt-cooled variant, which use liquid salts for heat transfer at near-atmospheric pressures, enabling higher operating temperatures (up to 700 degrees Celsius) and thermal efficiencies exceeding 40 percent—ideal for generating electricity and process heat for server cooling. Sodium-cooled fast reactors, pursued by TerraPower, leverage fast neutron spectra for fuel breeding and waste reduction, while high-temperature gas reactors from X-Energy employ helium cooling for modularity and proliferation resistance. Safety features are paramount: passive cooling systems rely on natural convection and gravity to prevent meltdowns without active intervention, as in integral designs where components are housed in a single vessel. Inherent safeguards, such as negative temperature coefficients that automatically reduce reactivity during overheating, minimize accident risks. For Big Tech integrations, these features ensure uptime and resilience against grid failures, but challenges like material corrosion in harsh environments and the need for specialized maintenance persist, requiring ongoing research to realize full potential.

7. Economic Implications

The alliances between Big Tech and the nuclear industry carry profound economic ramifications, reshaping investment landscapes, utility models, and regional economies. As data centers' power demands escalate, these partnerships inject billions into nuclear projects, potentially revitalizing a sector long hampered by high costs and low investor confidence. However, they also introduce complexities in financing, risk allocation, and market dynamics. Big Tech's involvement often involves power purchase agreements that guarantee revenue streams for nuclear operators, enabling capital-intensive builds while allowing tech firms to lock in predictable energy prices. This convergence could lower overall electricity costs through economies of scale in advanced reactors, but it raises questions about subsidies, ratepayer burdens, and the true cost of carbon-free power. Broader implications include shifts in energy markets, where nuclear's baseload reliability competes with cheaper but intermittent renewables, potentially stabilizing grids but at a premium. This section analyzes cost structures, financial risks, and the dual-edged sword of job creation and economic development, providing a nuanced view of how these deals influence stakeholders from investors to local communities.

7.1 Cost Structures and Financial Risks

Nuclear projects inherently involve intricate cost structures, dominated by upfront capital expenditures that can reach tens of billions for large plants or hundreds of millions for small modular reactors. In Big Tech deals, these costs are mitigated through shared financing models, where companies like Google and Microsoft provide equity investments or milestone payments, reducing the burden on utilities. For instance, power purchase agreements often include fixed pricing mechanisms that hedge against volatility, but they embed premiums for nuclear's reliability, estimated at 10 to 15 cents per kilowatt-hour compared to renewables' lower averages. Operational costs, including fuel, maintenance, and decommissioning, add layers, with advanced designs promising reductions through modularity and passive safety, yet historical overruns—like those exceeding projections by 200 percent in recent builds—highlight persistent risks. Financial risks amplify this: regulatory delays can inflate budgets, supply chain disruptions for specialized materials like high-assay uranium increase volatility, and interest rate fluctuations burden debt-heavy projects. Big Tech's deep pockets de-risk some aspects, but if timelines slip or technologies underperform, investors face stranded assets, while utilities may pass costs to consumers, potentially sparking rate hikes and economic inequities.

7.2 Job Creation and Economic Development

These nuclear-Big Tech partnerships foster significant job creation, revitalizing communities through direct employment in construction, operations, and supply chains. Reactor restarts, such as Microsoft's initiative at Three Mile Island, can restore hundreds of high-skilled positions in engineering, maintenance, and security, while new small modular reactor deployments promise thousands more in manufacturing and assembly. For regions like Pennsylvania and Tennessee, this influx supports ancillary industries, from equipment suppliers to local services, injecting millions into economies and boosting tax revenues for infrastructure improvements. Economic development extends beyond jobs: data center co-locations drive real estate growth, attract talent, and enhance regional innovation hubs, as seen in Google's Tennessee investments. However, benefits are uneven; construction phases offer temporary booms, but long-term gains depend on sustained operations. Challenges include workforce training needs for specialized nuclear skills, potential displacement in fossil fuel-dependent areas, and community costs like increased traffic or housing strains. Overall, these alliances could catalyze broader development, transforming rust-belt towns into tech-energy nexuses, provided equitable policies ensure shared prosperity.

8. Environmental and Sustainability Considerations

The convergence of Big Tech's data centers with nuclear power introduces a complex array of environmental and sustainability factors, balancing the promise of low-carbon energy against longstanding concerns over ecological footprints. Nuclear energy, heralded for its minimal operational emissions, aligns with corporate goals for net-zero transitions, potentially curbing the climate impact of AI's voracious power needs. Yet, the full lifecycle—from uranium mining to decommissioning—demands scrutiny for resource use, habitat disruption, and long-term liabilities. Sustainability extends beyond emissions to include water consumption, land use, and biodiversity effects, where advanced reactors may offer improvements over traditional designs. These considerations are pivotal as deals proliferate, influencing global energy strategies amid climate urgency. Critics argue that nuclear's revival could divert resources from renewables, while proponents view it as a complementary bridge. This section evaluates carbon reduction potential and waste management impacts, shedding light on how these alliances navigate the tension between technological advancement and environmental stewardship.

8.1 Carbon Reduction Potential

Nuclear power's primary environmental appeal lies in its capacity to deliver substantial carbon reductions, particularly for energy-intensive data centers striving for sustainability. Unlike fossil fuels, nuclear reactors produce electricity through fission without direct greenhouse gas emissions, emitting only about 12 grams of CO_2 equivalent per kilowatt-hour over their lifecycle—comparable to wind and far below coal's 820 grams or natural gas's 490 grams. For Big Tech, integrating nuclear via power purchase agreements and small modular reactors could offset the projected tripling of data center emissions by 2030, enabling carbon-free operations for AI training and cloud services. Deals like Google's with Kairos Power and Microsoft's Three Mile Island restart exemplify this, potentially avoiding millions of tons of CO_2 annually by displacing gas-fired backups for intermittent renewables. Enhanced efficiency in advanced designs, such as higher thermal conversion rates, further amplifies reductions, supporting broader decarbonization goals under frameworks like the Paris Agreement. However, upstream impacts from mining and enrichment add emissions, and construction phases generate concrete-related CO_2, necessitating holistic assessments to realize true potential.

8.2 Waste Management and Long-Term Impacts

Waste management remains a cornerstone of nuclear sustainability debates, with long-term impacts posing challenges that extend millennia beyond operational lifespans. Nuclear fuel cycles produce high-level radioactive waste, including spent fuel rods containing isotopes like plutonium-239 with half-lives of 24,000 years, requiring secure storage to prevent environmental contamination. Advanced reactors, such as those in TerraPower and Oklo partnerships, promise reduced waste volumes through fuel recycling and fast neutron burning, potentially cutting long-lived actinides by up to 90 percent compared to traditional light-water systems. For data center integrations, this means lower legacy burdens, but current U.S. repositories like Yucca Mountain remain stalled, leading to on-site dry cask storage that occupies land and raises security concerns. Long-term impacts include potential groundwater pollution from leaks, biodiversity disruptions near mining sites, and thermal pollution from cooling water discharges, though molten salt designs minimize water use. Decommissioning costs, often underestimated, add economic strains that could undermine sustainability if not addressed through robust policies. Overall, while innovations mitigate risks, effective waste strategies are essential to ensure these alliances contribute positively to environmental legacies.

9. Regulatory and Policy Landscape

The regulatory and policy framework governing Big Tech's nuclear alliances is a multifaceted arena, blending federal oversight with state-specific dynamics to facilitate or hinder project advancements. At the federal level, agencies like the Nuclear Regulatory Commission play a gatekeeping role in licensing and safety approvals, while policies incentivize innovation to meet climate targets. State governments, meanwhile, wield influence through utility commissions, environmental reviews, and local zoning, often reflecting diverse political climates. This landscape has evolved rapidly since 2024, spurred by AI-driven energy demands, with reforms aiming to streamline processes for small modular reactors and restarts. Yet, tensions arise from historical safety concerns, environmental justice issues, and the allocation of public funds. Understanding these elements is essential to grasping how deals navigate bureaucratic hurdles, secure approvals, and address opposition, ultimately shaping the feasibility of nuclear integration for data centers. This section explores federal incentives that propel the industry forward and state-level challenges that can impede progress.

9.1 Federal Incentives and Subsidies

Federal incentives have been instrumental in catalyzing Big Tech-nuclear partnerships, providing financial and regulatory support to offset the high risks of nuclear development. The Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 extended production tax credits for advanced nuclear facilities, offering up to 1.8 cents per kilowatt-hour for clean energy generation, which has been pivotal for projects like Kairos Power's reactors backed by Google. The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law allocated billions for demonstration programs, including the Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program, which disburses matching funds for prototypes, enabling companies like TerraPower and Oklo to attract Meta's investments. Loan guarantees from the Department of Energy, rooted in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, further de-risk capital-intensive builds by covering up to 80 percent of costs. Regulatory milestones, such as the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's streamlined licensing pathways under the 2024 Nuclear Energy Innovation and Modernization Act amendments, expedite approvals for small modular reactors. These subsidies not only lower barriers for entry but also align with national goals for carbon reduction, though they draw criticism for favoring nuclear over renewables and potentially burdening taxpayers if projects fail.

9.2 State-Level Challenges and Approvals

State-level dynamics present a patchwork of challenges and approvals that can make or break nuclear-data center initiatives, influenced by local politics, economics, and public sentiment. Public utility commissions in states like Pennsylvania and Tennessee oversee rate structures and grid integrations, as seen in Microsoft's Three Mile Island restart, where approvals hinged on demonstrating economic benefits without rate hikes. Environmental reviews under state laws, such as California's stringent seismic standards, often delay projects, while community opposition—fueled by safety fears post-Fukushima—leads to protracted hearings and lawsuits. In Virginia, data center hubs face zoning battles over land use and water rights for cooling, complicating Amazon's nuclear expansions. Conversely, supportive states like Ohio offer tax abatements and streamlined permitting for uprates in Meta's deals with Vistra. Interstate compacts and federal preemption sometimes override local hurdles, but variances in renewable portfolio standards can prioritize alternatives, creating friction. Overall, navigating these approvals requires robust stakeholder engagement, with delays potentially adding years and millions to timelines, underscoring the need for adaptive strategies in this decentralized regulatory environment.

10. Societal and Ethical Dimensions

Big Tech's embrace of nuclear energy for data centers extends beyond technical and economic realms, delving into profound societal and ethical territories that question equity, community welfare, and global power dynamics. These alliances, while advancing AI capabilities, often amplify existing inequalities, as the benefits of innovation may accrue to corporations and urban elites, while risks burden local populations. Ethical considerations include the moral imperative of sustainable development versus the acceleration of energy-intensive technologies that exacerbate consumption patterns. Societal dimensions encompass public trust in nuclear safety, informed by historical traumas, and the broader implications for labor markets transformed by automation and energy shifts. As deals proliferate, they spark debates on corporate influence over public resources, raising questions about democratic oversight in energy policy. This section examines community-level oppositions and equity concerns, alongside the geopolitical ramifications, highlighting how these partnerships could either foster inclusive progress or deepen divides in an increasingly digital world.

10.1 Community Opposition and Equity Issues

Community opposition to nuclear-data center projects often stems from historical mistrust, environmental fears, and perceived inequities in benefit distribution. In locales like Pennsylvania, where Microsoft's Three Mile Island restart unfolds, residents voice concerns over revived radiation risks, drawing parallels to the 1979 accident that lingers in collective memory. Equity issues arise when low-income or minority communities bear disproportionate burdens, such as increased traffic, noise, or potential health hazards from construction and operations, while economic gains—like jobs and tax revenues—flow unevenly. For instance, in Tennessee, Google's Kairos partnership has faced scrutiny for prioritizing corporate power needs over local grid stability, potentially hiking residential rates. Ethical dilemmas include the lack of meaningful community engagement in decision-making, where public hearings may serve as formalities rather than genuine dialogues. Broader inequities manifest in the digital divide, as AI advancements powered by these deals may widen gaps between tech-savvy regions and underserved areas. Addressing these requires transparent impact assessments, equitable revenue sharing, and inclusive planning to mitigate opposition and ensure that nuclear revival serves societal good rather than elite interests.

10.2 Global Implications for Energy Geopolitics

The Big Tech-nuclear nexus carries significant global implications, reshaping energy geopolitics by influencing resource dependencies, international alliances, and climate diplomacy. As U.S.-based companies like Amazon and Meta secure domestic nuclear capacity, this could reduce reliance on imported fossil fuels, enhancing energy security but potentially straining global uranium supplies, dominated by producers like Kazakhstan and Canada. Geopolitically, these deals may export U.S. nuclear technologies through partnerships, as seen in potential SMR deployments abroad, fostering alliances with allies while raising proliferation concerns in volatile regions. In the context of AI's global race, where China advances its own nuclear and data infrastructures, these initiatives could intensify techno-nationalism, with energy becoming a lever in trade disputes or cyber conflicts. Climate implications are dual-edged: accelerating low-carbon transitions aids Paris Agreement goals, yet diverts investments from renewables in developing nations, perpetuating North-South divides. Ethical considerations involve ensuring technology transfers promote equitable global development, avoiding scenarios where Big Tech's pursuits exacerbate inequalities or fuel resource conflicts. Ultimately, these dynamics underscore the need for international frameworks to govern the intersection of energy, AI, and geopolitics for shared prosperity.

11. Challenges and Future Outlook

As Big Tech's nuclear ambitions unfold, the path forward is fraught with uncertainties that could either propel a transformative energy shift or stall progress amid entrenched obstacles. This outlook considers the multifaceted challenges in implementation, from technical hurdles to societal pushback, while projecting plausible scenarios for the coming decade. Drawing on trends from 2024-2026, these projections highlight the interplay of innovation, policy, and market forces in determining nuclear's role in powering AI. Optimistic views envision widespread adoption of advanced reactors, driving decarbonization and economic growth, whereas pessimistic ones foresee persistent delays eroding investor confidence. Ultimately, the future hinges on resolving key roadblocks through collaborative efforts, adaptive strategies, and evolving public perceptions, shaping whether this gambit becomes a cornerstone of sustainable digital infrastructure or a cautionary tale of overhyped promises.

11.1 Potential Roadblocks to Implementation

Implementing nuclear solutions for data centers faces a gauntlet of roadblocks that span technical, financial, regulatory, and social domains. Technically, supply chain vulnerabilities for critical materials like high-assay low-enriched uranium remain acute, with limited domestic production capacity leading to dependencies on international suppliers and potential bottlenecks. Corrosion issues in advanced designs, such as molten salt reactors, could extend testing phases, delaying commercial viability. Financially, the high upfront costs—often exceeding initial estimates by substantial margins—pose risks, especially if interest rates rise or subsidies wane, deterring further Big Tech investments. Regulatory delays, including protracted Nuclear Regulatory Commission reviews and environmental impact assessments, have historically added years to timelines, as evidenced by ongoing SMR certifications. Socially, community opposition rooted in safety fears and equity concerns can trigger lawsuits or moratoriums, as seen in local debates over reactor restarts. Grid integration challenges, such as upgrading transmission infrastructure to handle new capacity, further complicate deployments in data center-dense areas. These intertwined barriers demand proactive mitigation, including diversified sourcing, public engagement campaigns, and policy reforms, to prevent stalling the momentum built in recent years.

11.2 Scenarios for the Next Decade

Over the next decade, the trajectory of Big Tech-nuclear alliances could unfold in divergent scenarios, influenced by technological breakthroughs, policy shifts, and global events. In an optimistic "Nuclear Renaissance" scenario, accelerated SMR deployments by 2030—fueled by successful prototypes like Hermes and cost reductions to under three thousand dollars per kilowatt—enable widespread co-location with data centers, meeting AI's projected demand surge while slashing emissions by gigatons. Big Tech's investments scale up, creating a virtuous cycle of innovation and job growth, with fusion hybrids emerging by 2035. A moderate "Pragmatic Integration" path sees gradual progress, with reactor restarts and uprates dominating through 2032, supplemented by hybrid renewable-nuclear grids; delays persist, but policy tweaks like extended tax credits ensure steady advancement, stabilizing energy markets amid climate pressures. In a pessimistic "Stalled Ambition" outlook, escalating costs, regulatory gridlock, and public backlash—perhaps amplified by a minor incident—lead to project cancellations by 2028, shifting focus back to natural gas and batteries; this could exacerbate grid strains and slow decarbonization, leaving AI growth constrained by energy shortages. These scenarios underscore the need for resilient strategies to navigate uncertainties and capitalize on opportunities.

12. Conclusion

The alliances between Big Tech and the nuclear industry, forged in the crucible of AI's insatiable energy demands, represent a bold yet contentious chapter in the evolution of global power systems. From the historical stagnation of nuclear power to its tentative revival through small modular reactors and reactor restarts, these partnerships have injected vitality into a sector long sidelined by costs and public fears. Key players like Google, Amazon, Microsoft, and Meta have not only secured gigawatts of carbon-free baseload through landmark deals but also accelerated technological innovations that promise enhanced safety and efficiency. Economically, the implications span job creation and regional development, tempered by financial risks and cost overruns. Environmentally, the potential for massive carbon reductions is compelling, though waste management and lifecycle impacts demand vigilant oversight. Regulatory landscapes, with federal subsidies enabling progress amid state-level hurdles, underscore the need for streamlined policies. Societally and ethically, these initiatives highlight equity challenges and geopolitical shifts, urging inclusive approaches to mitigate opposition and foster global cooperation.

As we look ahead, the challenges—ranging from supply chain vulnerabilities to community distrust—loom large, yet the scenarios for the next decade suggest possibilities for a nuclear renaissance that could sustainably power the digital age. Ultimately, this gambit challenges us to balance technological ambition with ethical responsibility, ensuring that the pursuit of AI advancement serves humanity's broader interests rather than deepening divides. By addressing these multifaceted dimensions, stakeholders can harness this convergence to build a resilient, equitable energy future.

References

1. New nuclear clean energy agreement with Kairos Power. Google Blog. October 14, 2024. https://blog.google/company-news/outreach-and-initiatives/sustainability/google-kairos-power-nuclear-energy-agreement

2. Google and Kairos Power Partner to Deploy 500 MW of Clean Electricity Generation. Kairos Power. October 14, 2024. https://www.kairospower.com/updates/google-and-kairos-power-partner-to-deploy-500-mw-of-clean-electricity-generation

3. Google, Kairos Power, TVA announce collaboration. World Nuclear News. August 18, 2025. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/google-kairos-power-tva-announce-collaboration

4. Google, Kairos Power, TVA Collaborate to Meet America's Growing Energy Needs. Kairos Power. August 18, 2025. https://kairospower.com/external_updates/google-kairos-power-tva-collaborate-to-meet-americas-growing-energy-needs

5. Google, Kairos Power ink 500-MW advanced nuclear reactor deal. Utility Dive. October 15, 2024. https://www.utilitydive.com/news/google-kairos-power-advanced-nuclear-reactor-data-center-electricity-demand-ai/729876

6. Serial Deployment Of SMRs To Bring Down Cost Of New Nuclear, Alphabet Executive Tells Conference. NucNet. March 11, 2025. https://www.nucnet.org/news/serial-deployment-of-smrs-to-bring-down-cost-of-new-nuclear-alphabet-executive-tells-conference-3-2-2025

7. Google Signs Deal to Power Data Centers from Advanced Nuclear Plant by 2030. ESG Today. August 20, 2025. https://www.esgtoday.com/google-signs-deal-to-power-data-centers-from-advanced-nuclear-plant-by-2030

8. Google joins Big Tech's move into nuclear power, and other top energy stories. World Economic Forum. October 22, 2024. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/10/google-joins-big-tech-move-into-nuclear-power-and-other-top-energy-stories

9. Google and Kairos Power Partner to Deploy 500 MW of Clean Electricity Generation. Berkeley Nuclear Engineering. October 14, 2024. https://nuc.berkeley.edu/google-and-kairos-power-partner-to-deploy-500-mw-of-clean-electricity-generation

10. Google Inks Power Purchase Deal for Seven Kairos Reactors. Neutron Bytes. October 15, 2024. https://neutronbytes.com/2024/10/15/google-inks-power-purchase-deal-for-seven-kairos-reactors

11. Trump administration backs Three Mile Island nuclear restart with $1 billion loan to Constellation. CNBC. November 18, 2025. https://www.cnbc.com/2025/11/18/trump-nuclear-three-mile-island-crane-loan-constellation-ceg.html

12. US loans Constellation $1 billion for Three Mile Island reactor reboot. Reuters. November 19, 2025. https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/us-loans-constellation-1-billion-three-mile-island-reactor-reboot-2025-11-18

13. Microsoft wants to resurrect Three Mile Island. It will never happen. The Hill. January 2, 2026. https://thehill.com/opinion/energy-environment/5667831-microsoft-constellation-nuclear-challenges

14. Energy secretary says grid must be built for 'peak demand' as Three Mile Island plans return. Fox Business. https://www.foxbusiness.com/media/energy-secretary-grid-must-be-built-peak-demand-three-mile-island-plans-return

15. One Year Later: Crane Clean Energy Center Still in the Spotlight and Ahead of Schedule. Constellation Energy. September 23, 2025. https://www.constellationenergy.com/news/2025/09/one-year-later-crane-clean-energy-center-still-in-the-spotlight-and-ahead-of-schedule.html

16. Constellation to restart Three Mile Island unit, powering Microsoft. World Nuclear News. September 20, 2024. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/constellation-to-restart-three-mile-island-unit-powering-microsoft

17. US Energy Secretary says Three Mile Island restart delivers on Trump administration promises. Pennsylvania Capital-Star. December 17, 2025. https://penncapital-star.com/economy/energy-secretary-christopher-wright-says-three-mile-island-restart-delivers-on-trump-administration-promises

18. Energy Department loans $1B to help restart nuclear reactor. Associated Press. November 18, 2025. https://apnews.com/article/three-mile-island-nuclear-power-constellation-microsoft-energy-b36d8ce1b68891e18d165063f57e4c5b

19. Inside Three Mile Island's nuclear restart with Microsoft, now targeting 2027 launch. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4G_IkKbF4Dc

20. Constellation announces Three Mile Island restart plans. Reddit. https://www.reddit.com/r/nuclear/comments/1fl9dlu/constellation_announces_three_mile_island_restart

21. Talen Energy Expands Nuclear Energy Relationship with Amazon. Talen Energy. June 11, 2025. https://ir.talenenergy.com/news-releases/news-release-details/talen-energy-expands-nuclear-energy-relationship-amazon

22. Talen to sell Amazon 1.9 GW from Susquehanna nuclear plant. Utility Dive. June 11, 2025. https://www.utilitydive.com/news/talen-amazon-aws-susquehanna-nuclear-data-centert/750440

23. New supply agreement expands Talen-Amazon partnership. World Nuclear News. June 12, 2025. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/new-supply-agreement-expands-talen-amazon-partnership

24. Amazon Signs 1.9 GW Nuclear Deal to Power Data Centers. ESG Today. June 12, 2025. https://www.esgtoday.com/amazon-signs-deal-for-1-9-gw-of-nuclear-energy-to-power-data-centers

25. Talen and Amazon expand their partnership for Pennsylvania. American Nuclear Society. June 16, 2025. https://www.ans.org/news/2025-06-16/article-7113/talen-and-amazon-expand-their-partnership-for-pennsylvania

26. Amazon's & Talen's Nuclear Energy Deal for Data Centres. Energy Digital. June 16, 2025. https://energydigital.com/articles/amazons-nuclear-energy-deal

27. Talen Energy and Amazon sign nuclear power deal to fuel data centers. Reuters. June 11, 2025. https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/talen-energy-amazon-sign-nuclear-power-deal-fuel-data-centers-2025-06-11

28. FERC upholds rejection of proposed interconnection agreement between AWS data center and Pennsylvania nuclear plant. Data Center Dynamics. April 14, 2025. https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/news/ferc-upholds-rejection-of-proposed-interconnection-agreement-between-aws-data-center-and-pennsylvania-nuclear-plant

29. Talen Energy Expands Nuclear Energy Relationship with Amazon. Public Power. June 11, 2025. https://www.publicpower.org/periodical/article/talen-energy-expands-nuclear-energy-relationship-with-amazon

30. Talen, Amazon Launch $18B Nuclear PPA—A Grid-Connected IPP Model for the Data Center Era. POWER Magazine. June 12, 2025. https://www.powermag.com/talen-amazon-launch-18b-nuclear-ppa-a-grid-connected-ipp-model-for-the-data-center-era

31. Meta Announces Nuclear Energy Projects, Unlocking Up to 6.6 GW to Power American Leadership in AI Innovation. Meta. January 9, 2026.

https://about.fb.com/news/2026/01/meta-nuclear-energy-projects-power-american-ai-leadership

32. Vistra and Meta Announce Agreements to Support Nuclear Plants in PJM and Add New Nuclear Generation to the Grid. Vistra. January 9, 2026. https://investor.vistracorp.com/2026-01-09-Vistra-and-Meta-Announce-Agreements-to-Support-Nuclear-Plants-in-PJM-and-Add-New-Nuclear-Generation-to-the-Grid

33. The Meta-Vistra deal: A closer look. American Nuclear Society. January 12, 2026. https://www.ans.org/news/article-7668/the-metavistra-deal-a-closer-look

34. Meta's 6.6GW Nuclear Power Deal: The Largest Corporate Nuclear Agreement in History. Introl. February 4, 2026. https://introl.com/blog/meta-6-6gw-nuclear-deal-terrapower-oklo-vistra-2026

35. Meta strikes nuclear power agreements with three companies. Reuters. January 9, 2026. https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/meta-strikes-nuclear-power-agreements-with-three-companies-2026-01-09

36. What Meta's big nuclear deals say about clean energy in 2026. Trellis. January 20, 2026. https://trellis.net/article/what-metas-big-nuclear-deals-say-about-corporate-clean-energy-strategy

37. Meta goes all-in on nuclear power with 6.6 GW plans. Fierce Network. January 9, 2026. https://www.fierce-network.com/cloud/meta-goes-all-nuclear-power-66-gw-plans

38. Meta announces 'landmark' agreements for new nuclear. World Nuclear News. January 9, 2026. https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/articles/meta-announces-landmark-agreements-for-new-nuclear

39. The Foundation Of The AI Cake: Layer That Makes Or Breaks AI. Forbes. February 19, 2026. https://www.forbes.com/sites/jonmarkman/2026/02/19/the-foundation-of-the-ai-cake-layer-that-makes-or-breaks-ai

40. Meta to support 6.6 GW of nuclear across 3 companies. E&E News. January 9, 2026. https://www.eenews.net/articles/meta-to-support-6-6-gw-of-nuclear-across-3-companies

41. Energy demand from AI. International Energy Agency. https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai/energy-demand-from-ai

42. Data Center Energy Needs Could Upend Power Grids and Threaten the Climate. Environmental and Energy Study Institute. April 15, 2025.

https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-center-energy-needs-are-upending-power-grids-and-threatening-the-climate

43. Data center grid-power demand to rise 22% in 2025, nearly triple by 2030. S&P Global. October 14, 2025. https://www.spglobal.com/energy/en/news-research/latest-news/electric-power/101425-data-center-grid-power-demand-to-rise-22-in-2025-nearly-triple-by-2030
44. Data Center Electricity Demand Nearly Doubles to 8.9% by 2030, Shifting Water Risk from Cooling to Power Generation. Bluefield Research. https://www.bluefieldresearch.com/ns/data-center-electricity-demand-nearly-doubles-to-8-9-by-2030
45. 3 ways data centres can avoid doubling their energy use by 2030. World Economic Forum. December 2, 2025. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/12/data-centres-and-energy-demand
46. AI, Data Centers, and the U.S. Electric Grid: A Watershed Moment. Belfer Center. February 10, 2026. https://www.belfercenter.org/research-analysis/ai-data-centers-us-electric-grid
47. Why AI uses so much energy — and what we can do about it. Penn State Institute for Computational and Data Sciences. April 8, 2025. https://iee.psu.edu/news/blog/why-ai-uses-so-much-energy-and-what-we-can-do-about-it
48. What we know about energy use at U.S. data centers amid the AI boom. Pew Research Center. October 24, 2025. https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/10/24/what-we-know-about-energy-use-at-us-data-centers-amid-the-ai-boom
49. Powering the US Data Center Boom: Why Forecasting Can Be So Tricky. World Resources Institute. September 17, 2025. https://www.wri.org/insights/us-data-centers-electricity-demand
50. GS SUSTAIN: Data Center Power Demand: The 6 Ps Driving Growth and Constraints. Goldman Sachs. November 10, 2025. https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/goldman-sachs-research/data-center-power-demand-the-6-ps-driving-growth-and-constraints
51. Faster, Cheaper, Smarter? The Promise and Pitfalls of Small Modular Reactors. Globsec. June 2, 2025. https://www.globsec.org/what-we-do/commentaries/faster-cheaper-smarter-promise-and-pitfalls-small-modular-reactors

52. Small Modular Reactors: Challenges and Opportunities. OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. https://www.oecd-nea.org/jcms/pl_57979/small-modular-reactors-challenges-and-opportunities?details=true%3B

53. Small Modular Reactors: A Realist Approach to the Future of Nuclear Power. Information Technology and Innovation Foundation. April 14, 2025. https://itif.org/publications/2025/04/14/small-modular-reactors-a-realist-approach-to-the-future-of-nuclear-power

54. Deploy Small Modular Nuclear Reactors. Project Drawdown. September 18, 2025. https://drawdown.org/explorer/deploy-small-modular-nuclear-reactors

55. Small Reactors: The Promise and Challenges [View Point]. IEEE Xplore. December 9, 2024. http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10786857

56. Small Modular Nuclear Reactors. Chambers for Innovation and Clean Energy. https://www.chambersforinnovation.com/small-modular-nuclear-reactors

57. Small Modular Reactors: Still too expensive, too slow and too risky. Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis. May 29, 2024. https://ieefa.org/resources/small-modular-reactors-still-too-expensive-too-slow-and-too-risky

58. New nuclear requires strong governance to avoid making old mistakes. University of Michigan Engineering. December 1, 2025. https://news.engin.umich.edu/2025/12/new-nuclear-requires-strong-governance-to-avoid-making-old-mistakes

59. An energy justice critique of small modular reactors in emerging nuclear transitions. ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629625005389

60. Small Modular Reactors. World Nuclear Association. November 24, 2025. https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/nuclear-power-reactors/small-modular-reactors/small-modular-reactors

61. How can nuclear combat climate change? World Nuclear Association. May 1, 2024. https://world-nuclear.org/nuclear-essentials/how-can-nuclear-combat-climate-change

62. Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions associated with nuclear power generation in the United States. Wiley Online Library. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/jiec.70008

63. Parametric Life Cycle Assessment of Nuclear Power for Simplified Models. NIH. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10537461

64. The greenhouse gas emissions of nuclear energy – Life cycle assessment of a European pressurised reactor. ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0306261921002555

65. Nuclear Energy for a Net Zero World. IAEA. https://www.iaea.org/sites/default/files/21/10/nuclear-energy-for-a-net-zero-world.pdf

66. Comparison of Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Various Electricity Generation Sources. World Nuclear Association. https://world-nuclear.org/images/articles/comparison_of_lifecycle1.pdf

67. Valuing the greenhouse gas emissions from nuclear power. Journalist's Resource. https://journalistsresource.org/environment/nuclear-power-greenhouse-gases

68. Climate. Nuclear Energy Institute. https://www.nei.org/advantages/climate

69. What Is the Lifecycle Carbon Footprint of a Nuclear Power Plant, Including Construction and Decommissioning? Sustainability Directory. November 22, 2025. https://energy.sustainability-directory.com/learn/what-is-the-lifecycle-carbon-footprint-of-a-nuclear-power-plant-including-construction-and-decommissioning

70. Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Nuclear Electricity Generation: Systematic Review and Harmonization. NREL. https://research-hub.nrel.gov/en/publications/life-cycle-greenhouse-gas-emissions-of-nuclear-electricity-genera-4

71. Zero-Emission Nuclear Power Production Credit. IRS. August 16, 2025. https://www.irs.gov/credits-deductions/zero-emission-nuclear-power-production-credit

72. The Impact of the 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' on Nuclear Tax Incentives. Morgan Lewis. July 24, 2025. https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/2025/07/the-impact-of-the-one-big-beautiful-bill-act-on-nuclear-tax-incentives

73. Summary of Inflation Reduction Act provisions related to renewable energy. EPA. https://www.epa.gov/green-power-markets/summary-inflation-reduction-act-provisions-related-renewable-energy

74. Nuclear Power in the USA. World Nuclear Association. https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/country-profiles/countries-t-z/usa-nuclear-power

75. The Importance of Tax Credits for U.S. Leadership in New Nuclear. Nuclear Innovation Alliance. https://nuclearinnovationalliance.org/sites/default/files/2025-03/Importance%20of%20Tax%20Credits%20-%20Final.pdf

76. Inflation Reduction Act Keeps Momentum Building for Nuclear Power. U.S. Department of Energy. September 8, 2022. https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/inflation-reduction-act-keeps-momentum-building-nuclear-power

77. Nuclear Energy in the Inflation Reduction Act Production Tax Credit (PTC) for Operating Plants. Nuclear Energy Institute. https://lp.nei.org/rs/766-WBL-877/images/Inflation%20Reduction%20Act%20Summary%20of%20Nuclear%20Provisions.pdf

78. Inflation Reduction Act Offers Expanded Energy Tax Credits. MEAG Power. https://www.meagpower.org/inflation-reduction-act

79. Inflation Reduction Act Offers Tax Incentives to a Wide Variety of Energy Projects. Baker Botts. https://www.bakerbotts.com/thought-leadership/publications/2023/april/2022-energy-memo_inflation-reduction-act-offers-tax-incentives

80. Nuclear Power Tax Credits. Congressional Research Service. June 4, 2025. https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IN12557

81. Nuclear Regulatory Commission panel hears worries, but mostly support for Three Mile Island restart. Pennsylvania Capital-Star. https://penncapital-star.com/energy-environment/nuclear-regulatory-commission-panel-hears-worries-but-mostly-support-for-tmi-restart

82. Residents give mixed views on Three Mile Island restart to federal regulators. LNP | LancasterOnline. https://lancasteronline.com/news/local/residents-give-mixed-views-on-three-mile-island-restart-to-federal-regulators/article_92a05262-46a8-54e0-ae85-2044ad5bc15d.html

83. Foes and Friends of Nuclear Power Face Off Near Three Mile Island. Inside Climate News. March 28, 2025. https://insideclimatenews.org/news/28032025/foes-and-friends-of-nuclear-power-face-off-near-three-mile-island

84. Costume-clad protestors raise alarm on Michigan nuclear plant restart and Trump's efforts in office. Michigan Advance. October 31, 2025. https://michiganadvance.com/2025/10/31/costume-clad-protestors-raise-alarm-on-michigan-nuclear-plant-restart-and-trumps-efforts-in-office

85. 80 Rally in Syracuse to Oppose Gov. Hochul's Escalating Nuclear Push. Alliance for a Green Economy. September 30, 2025. https://www.allianceforagreeneconomy.org/80-rally-in-syracuse-to-oppose-gov-hochuls-escalating-nuclear-push

86. Nuclear Regulatory Commission panel hears worries, but mostly support for Three Mile Island restart. News from the States. https://www.newsfromthestates.com/article/nuclear-regulatory-commission-panel-hears-worries-mostly-support-three-mile-island-restart

87. Pa. activists try to head off nuclear restart at Three Mile Island. WESA. September 6, 2024. https://www.wesa.fm/environment-energy/2024-09-06/pa-activists-nuclear-restart-three-mile-island

88. Nuclear Power Resurges, Absent a Strong Environmentalist Response. Broadband Breakfast. August 11, 2025. https://broadbandbreakfast.com/nuclear-power-resurges-absent-a-strong-environmentalist-response

89. Restart supporters outweighed critics at a Nuclear Regulatory Commission public meeting in Middletown Thursday. Facebook. https://www.facebook.com/PennLive/posts/restart-supporters-outweighed-critics-at-a-nuclear-regulatory-commission-public-/1343166944504381

90. Does the “NIMBY syndrome” undermine public support for nuclear power in Japan? ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0301421520306558

The author has published over 5,000 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.